# TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21) STATE: NC

APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- FORM 6 NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORN AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASE CONFIRMED, AND TREATED
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04,07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
  - O MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
  - O MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
  - O SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
  - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
  - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
  - O OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
  - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
  - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
  - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
  - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
  - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
  - O POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
  - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)
- New State Performance and Outcome Measures for New Needs Assessment Period

APPLICATION FOR FEDERA	L ASSISTA		2. DATE SU 2/15/2005	BMITTED		APPLICANT IDENTIFIER		
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION:		3	B. DATE RE	CEIVED BY STATE		STATE APPLICATION IDENTIFIER		
Application Pre-	application	<u> </u>						
Construction	Construction	4	. DATE RE	CEIVED BY FEDERAL AG	ENCY	FEDERAL IDENTIFIER		
Non-Construction	Non-Construc	tion						
5. APPLICANT INFORMATION					-			
Legal Name: NC Department of Health and Huma	n Services		Organizati Women's	onal Unit: & Children's Health Sect	ion			
Address (give city, county, state and zi NC Department of Health and Huma 1916 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699 County: Wake	ip code)		application Name: <b>Ke</b>	Name and telephone number of the person to be contacted on matters involving this application (give area code) Name: <b>Kevin Ryan</b> Tel Number: <b>919-715-7932</b>				
6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUM  5 6 0 2 3	A. State B. County C. Munici D. Towns E. Intersta	7. TYPE OF APPLICANT: (Enter appropriate letter in box) A  A. State H. Independent School District B. County I. State Controlled Institution of Higher Learning C. Municiple J. Private University D. Township K. Indian Tribe E. Interstate L. Individual F. Intermuniciple M. Profit Organization G. Special District N. Other (Specify)						
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION:			9 NAME C	OF FEDERAL AGENCY:	Iministration	n, Maternal and Child Health Bureau		
New Continuation Revis	ion	_	I lealth Ke	sources and Services Ac	illillisti atioi	i, maternal and Ciliu Health Buleau		
If Revision, enter appropriate letter(s) i  A. Increase Award B. Decrease Award C. Increase Decrease Duration Other (specify):								
10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMES NUMBER:	TIC ASSISTA	NCE		RIPTIVE TITLE OF APPLI		OJECT:		
93 994			Water	nai and omid Health Frog	gram			
TITLE: Maternal and Child Health Se  12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROJECT states, etc.):  State of North Carolina								
13. PROPOSED PROJECT:		14. CONO	GRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF:					
Start Date: Ending Date:		a. Applica	ant		b. Project			
10/01/2005   09/30/2006 15. ESTIMATED FUNDING:	146.16.4		gressional			rgressional dist /E ORDER 12372 PROCESS?		
a. Federal \$ 17,434,52	a. YES	S, THIS PR	REAPPLICA			ILABLE TO THE STATE EXECUTIVE		
b. Applicant \$	0.00 DAT							
c. State \$ 41,825,40	b. NO	PROC	GRAM IS N	OT COVERED BY E.O. 12	372			
d. Local \$	0.00	OR P	ROGRAM I	HAS NOT BEEN SELECTE	D BY STATE	FOR REVIEW		
e. Other \$ 48,788,89	97.00							
f. Program   \$ 66,306,42	24.00							
g. TOTAL \$ 174,355,24	18 00			INQUENT ON ANY FEDER	RAL DEBT			
18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLED DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTH ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF THE A	ORIZED BY T	HE GOVE	RNING BO					
a. Typed Name of Authorized Represe Carmen Hooker Odom	ntative			b. Title Secretary, NC DHHS		c. Telephone Number 919-733-4534		
d. Signature of Authorized Representa	tive					e. Date Signed		

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

F	ORM 2			
MCH BUDGET D				
	(d) and 505(a)(3)(4)] ATE: NC			
1. FEDERAL ALLOCATION				
(Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424]) Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for:			\$	17,434,522
A.Preventive and primary care for children:				
\$ 5,355,825 ( 30.72%)				
B.Children with special health care needs: \$ 5,949,526 ( 34.12%)				
(If either A or B is less than 30%, a waiver request must accompany the	application)[Sec. 50	5(a)(3)]		
C.Title V admininstrative costs:				
\$ 430,631 ( 2.47%) (The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)]				
2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424)			\$	0
3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424)			\$	41,825,405
4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424)			\$	0
5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424)			\$	48,788,897
6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424)	\$	66,306,424		
7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6) (Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount) \$ 29,063,379	\$	156,920,726		
8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRANT (Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424)	PARTNERS	HIP (SUBTOTAL)	\$ <u></u>	174,355,248
9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administration of	f the Title V program	)		
a. SPRANS:	\$	0		
b. SSDI:	\$	96,917		
c. CISS:	\$	178,258		
d. Abstinence Education:	\$	1,248,963		
e. Healthy Start:	\$	2,496,052		
f. EMSC:	\$	0		
g. WIC:	\$	140,381,920		
h. AIDS:	\$	0		
i. CDC:	\$	9,413,100		
j. Education:	\$	68,882		
k. Other:				
See Notes	\$	109,188,661		
	\$ <u> </u>			
10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds unde	er item 9)		\$	263,072,753
11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL (Partnership subtotal + Other Federal MCH Funds subtotal)			\$	437,428,001

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFedFundsOtherFund
Row Name: Other Federal Funds - Other Funds

Column Name: Year: 2006

Field Note:
FY2006 Other Category includes:
Special Nutrition
Title X Ittle X
Medicaid FFP
CHIP (Health Choice)
MCHB-Newborn Hearing
Substance Abuse PT
Non Title V Ind. Cost
TANF

Child Development Block Grant EPA

#### STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2004	FY:	2005	FY 2	2006	
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$ 17,183,075	\$16,171,797	\$17,522,028	\$0	\$17,434,522	\$0	
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$ 39,427,038	\$ 37,117,108	\$ 38,515,199	\$0	\$ 41,825,405	\$0	
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$ 49,872,561	\$ 55,932,751	\$ 49,151,137	\$0	\$48,788,897	\$0	
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$48,304,602	\$ 66,306,424	\$55,448,624	\$0	\$66,306,424	\$0	
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$154,787,276	\$ 175,528,080	\$160,636,988	\$0	\$ 174,355,248	\$0	
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)		
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$\$	\$227,754,255	\$ 228,731,749	\$0	\$\$	\$0	
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$371,575,336	\$ 403,282,335	\$389,368,737	\$0	\$437,428,001	\$0	
	(STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL)						

#### STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2001	FY:	2002	FY 2	2003
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$16,928,823	\$14,661,877	\$16,928,823	\$	\$17,224,639	\$5,046,392
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$0	\$	\$0	\$6,538,744	\$0	\$\$
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$52,050,425	\$ 41,615,496	\$37,629,844	\$ 41,106,028	\$45,474,700	\$ 39,977,662
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$36,846,638	\$ 53,122,276	\$49,693,589	\$ 53,470,046	\$ 49,249,817	\$ 43,862,643
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$62,371,036	\$60,745,850	\$60,745,850	\$48,304,602	\$60,745,850	\$55,448,624
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$168,196,922	\$ 172,670,173	\$164,998,106	\$156,713,676	\$ 172,695,006	\$ 154,265,704
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE I	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	NERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$110,129,086	\$185,788,863	\$ <u>189,122,412</u>	\$196,081,525	\$ 215,076,251	\$ 209,754,935
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$ 278,326,008	\$358,459,036	\$354,120,518	\$352,795,201	\$387,771,257	\$ 364,020,639
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

Source: Medicaid Paid Claims by Program Type and CPT Code for FY04 (DHR HBA PRODBS)

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main

Field Name: FedAllocExpended Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Due to the State's new accounting method implemented in FY02, the earliest open grant is drawn to cover current expenditures; thus the unobligated balance (which isn't budgeted from year to year) is used first and then funds are drawn from the federal allocation for the current year. This makes the expended amount differ from the budgeted amount by more than 10%.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended

Row Name: Unobligated Balance Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Due to the State's new accounting method implemented in FY02, the earliest open grant is drawn to cover current expenditures; thus the unobligated balance (which isn't budgeted from year to year) is used first and then funds are drawn from the federal allocation for the current year. This makes the expended amount differ from the budgeted amount by more than 10%.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The difference between budgeted and actual expenditures is 12%. During FY 02-03, the state continued to struggle financially. Hiring slowdowns and spending restrictions remained in place during the year. State agencies were encouraged to revert state funds to help with the budget crisis.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFundsExpended Row Name: Other Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The difference between budgeted and actual expenditures is 14%. The majority of the budget for this category is infant formula rebates. During FY 02-03, the rebate funds received was less than the estimated budget for these by 12%.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFundsExpended Row Name: Other Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Replace with note explaining >10% difference between budgeted and expended.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: ProgramIncomeExpended

Row Name: Program Income Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Replace with note explaining >10% difference between budgeted and expended.

#### BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

	FY	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2	2006
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
a. Pregnant Women	\$36,735,250	\$ 47,135,693	\$43,657,781	\$0	\$46,754,935	\$
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$ 59,629,941	\$ 17,755,201	\$15,295,187	\$0	\$17,611,776	\$
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$ 30,431,992	\$ 73,011,039	\$ 63,270,515	\$0	\$	\$
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$16,469,372	\$ 23,262,601	\$25,606,996	\$0	\$23,074,687	\$
e. Others	\$11,221,344	\$ 14,176,474	\$12,607,261	\$0	\$14,061,957	\$
f. Administration	\$ 299,377	\$ 187,072	\$199,248	\$0	\$ 430,631	\$
g. SUBTOTAL	\$ 154,787,276	\$ 175,528,080	\$160,636,988	\$0	\$ 174,355,248	\$0
II. Other Federal Funds (under the c	ontrol of the person	responsible for admini	stration of the Title V	program).		
a. SPRANS	\$0		\$0		\$0	
b. SSDI	\$ 103,108	]	\$ 132,393		\$96,917	
c. CISS	\$95,268_	]	\$150,436		\$ 178,258	
d. Abstinence Education	\$1,151,876	]	\$1,151,876		\$1,248,963	
e. Healthy Start	\$ 2,836,613	]	\$2,404,332		\$2,496,052	
f. EMSC	\$0	]	\$0		\$0	
g. WIC	\$ 116,499,274	]	\$ <u>119,659,685</u>		\$ <u>140,381,920</u>	
h. AIDS	\$0		\$0		\$0	
i. CDC	\$		\$8,371,296		\$9,413,100	
j. Education	\$ 63,261	]	\$ 68,465		\$ 68,882	
k.Other		7		1		
See Notes	\$0	<u> </u>	\$ 96,793,266		\$ 109,188,661	
See notes	\$ 88,524,620		\$0		\$0	
III. SUBTOTAL	\$ 216,788,060	1	\$ 228,731,749		\$ 263,072,753	

#### BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

	FY	2001	FY 2	2002	FY 2003		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$ 43,275,55	46,418,454	\$ 44,615,746	\$ 44,764,240	\$ 46,425,130	\$41,926,200	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$ 18,772,122	2 \$ 21,471,883	\$ 19,395,070	\$ 18,979,543	\$ 21,474,971	\$14,688,540	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$ 49,963,73	52,184,157	\$47,748,752	\$ 49,992,632	\$52,191,662	\$60,761,040	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$ 32,272,112	31,885,201	\$ 33,468,989	\$ 30,303,585	\$31,889,787	\$ 24,591,356	
e. Others	\$ 22,890,76	\$ 19,799,211	\$ 18,909,958	\$ 12,370,572	\$ 19,802,059	\$12,107,224	
f. Administration	\$ 1,022,63	911,267	\$ 859,591	\$ 303,103	\$ 911,397	\$191,344	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$ 168,196,922	\$ 172,670,173	\$ 164,998,106	\$ 156,713,675	\$ 172,695,006	\$154,265,704	
II. Other Federal Funds (under the	ontrol of the person	responsible for admini	stration of the Title V	program).			
a. SPRANS	\$0	]	\$0		\$0		
b. SSDI	\$ 80,017	Ī	\$121,084		\$110,822		
c. CISS	\$ 25,000	Ī	\$ 843,149		\$ 100,000		
d. Abstinence Education	\$1,151,876		\$1,151,876		\$1,151,876		
e. Healthy Start	\$2,596,746		\$4,341,901		\$ 3,571,234		
f. EMSC	\$0		\$0		\$0		
g. WIC	\$98,626,671_		\$96,727,564		\$ 110,520,759		
h. AIDS	\$0		\$0		\$0		
i. CDC	\$		\$7,589,462		\$7,692,119		
j. Education	\$0		\$0		\$0		
k.Other	]	_					
See Notes	\$0		\$0		\$ 91,929,441		
See note	\$0		\$ 78,347,376		\$0		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$ 110,129,086		\$ 189,122,412		\$ 215,076,251		

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

In reviewing the budget reported in the 2004 application, it was determined that the amount was incorrect. The correct budget for this category, and the resulting comparison to 2004 expenditures is shown here:

Actual budget \$44,213,976 Expenditures \$47,135,693

Difference \$(2,921,717)

Percentage of variance 6.6%

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_0\_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The state includes payments to local health departments for Medicaid-covered services in this category. The state estimates that the proportion of these payments for all children is the same as the proportion of infants and children served in the local health departments (28% infants, 72% children). There was a significant increase in the total payments from Medicaid in FY03 for "child health" services. Applying the proportions above to the total increases yielded a net increase in expenditures for children, but a decrease in expenditures for infants, relative to the budget for them. Combining the categories, the budget was \$73,666,633 and expenditures were \$74,815,899.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_0\_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

In reviewing the budget reported in the 2004 application, it was determined that the amount was incorrect. The correct budget for this category, and the resulting comparison to 2004 expenditures is shown here:

Actual budget \$18,746,238 Expenditures \$17,755,201

Difference \$ 991,037

Percentage of variance 5.3%

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_1\_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

Field Note:

The state includes payments to local health departments for Medicaid-covered services in this category. The state estimates that the proportion of these payments for all children is the same as the proportion of infants and children served in the local health departments (28% infants, 72% children). There was a significant increase in the total payments from Medicaid in FY03 for "child health" services. Applying the proportions above to the total increases yielded a net increase in expenditures for children, but a decrease in expenditures for infants, relative to the budget for them. Combining the categories, the budget was \$73,666,633 and expenditures were \$74,815,899.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_1\_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

In reviewing the budget reported in the 2004 application, it was determined that the amount was incorrect. The correct budget for this category, and the resulting comparison to 2004 expenditures is shown here:

Actual budget \$49,378,099 Expenditures \$73,011,039

Difference \$23,632,940

Percentage of variance 47.9%

The primary reasons for significantly higher expenditures in the category include:

- 1. The 2004 budget did not include estimates for program income to support Dental Services for Children, but expenditures of this type were counted in the 2004 review. This accounted for \$12,742,670 of the variation in the category.
- 2. Expenditures of program income ('WIC formula rebates) were significantly higher in 2004 than budget. This accounted for \$8,400,031 of the variation in the category
- 3. Expenditures of state funds for children's vaccines were higher than budgeted, accounting for \$1,577,233 of the variation in the category.
- Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The difference between budgeted and actual expenditures is 24%. The difference is due to a reduced receipt and expenditure of the WIC infant formula rebates for FY03. A portion of these expenditures is allocated to the CSHCN population, which lowered the overall expenditures in this category.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

In reviewing the budget reported in the 2004 application, it was determined that the amount was incorrect. The correct budget for this category, and the resulting comparison to 2004 expenditures is shown here:

Actual budget \$16,469,372 Expenditures \$23,262,601

Difference \$ 6,668,478

Percentage of variance 22.3%

The primary reasons for significantly higher expenditures in the category include:

1. Expenditures for Child Health Services from program income in local health departments was greater that budgeted by \$7,223,740

8. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The difference between budgeted and actual expenditures is 39%. The difference is due to a reduced receipt and expenditure of the WIC infant formula rebates for FY03. A portion of these expenditures is allocated to the "Other" population, which lowered the overall expenditures in this category.

9. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended Year: 2004

Field Note:

In reviewing the budget reported in the 2004 application, it was determined that the amount was incorrect. The correct budget for this category, and the resulting comparison to 2004 expenditures is shown here:

Actual budget \$12,218,507 Expenditures \$14,176,474

Difference \$1,957,967

Percentage of variance 16.0%

The primary reasons for significantly higher expenditures in the category include:

- 1. Higher expenditures of state funds for vaccines for non-children accounted for \$642,458 of the variance in the category.
- 2. Expenditures of state funds in a variety of programs were \$228,270

more than budgeted.

- 3. Expenditures of MCH Block Grant funds and state match were \$255,556 more than budgeted.
- 10. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Due to a change in the way the Maternal and Child Health Block Grant funds were budgeted in FY03, state funds that had been budgeted as administrative were rebudgeted in programmatic categories to achieve the required match. The programmatic areas as a result showed a slight increase in expenditures, while the administrative expenditures declined. In addition, the indirect cost rate for the division was reduced in FY03 leading to lower costs in that category.

11. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

The major reason for the variance in administrative costs was due to a reduction in the approved indirect cost rate. The budgeted rate was 9.1%, but the final approved rate was 5.3%. Thus indirect costs drawn were significantly lower for the budget period.

#### STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

TYPE OF SERVICE	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2	2006	
TIPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$59,342,688	\$69,498,906	\$ 65,336,604	\$0	\$69,034,533	\$0	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$	\$77,984,053	\$71,369,692	\$0	\$77,462,984	\$0	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$15,478,620	\$21,437,927	\$19,550,255	\$0	\$21,294,684	\$0	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$	\$6,607,194	\$4,380,437	\$0	\$6,563,047	\$0	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$ <u>154,787,276</u>	\$175,528,080	\$160,636,988	\$0	\$174,355,248	\$0	

#### STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

TYPE OF SERVICE	FY 2	2001	FY :	2002	FY 2003		
TIPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 65,876,343	\$62,262,523	\$ 64,623,488	\$ 60,081,236	\$ 62,271,477	\$ 62,745,182	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$74,424,783	\$82,021,067	\$73,009,352	\$73,644,467	\$82,032,864	\$68,538,983	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$19,050,656	\$19,018,756	\$18,688,346	\$ 15,671,258	\$19,021,491	\$ 18,774,841	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$8,845,140	\$9,367,827	\$8,676,920	\$	\$9,369,174	\$4,206,698	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$168,196,922	\$172,670,173	\$164,998,106	\$156,713,676	\$172,695,006	\$154,265,704	

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note: Need to investigate

Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The difference between budgeted and actual expenditures is 16%. The primary difference is due to a reduced receipt and expenditure of the WIC infant formula rebates for FY03. All of these expenditures are allocated to the "Enabling"category, which lowered the overall expenditures in this category. A secondary reason is that Medicaid payments to local health departments were less than budgeted. Approximately 35% of the Medicaid payments were for enabling services.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note: Need to investigate

Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note: Need to investigate

Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The difference between budgeted and actual expenditures is 55%. The primary difference is due to changes in the way that the Maternal and Child Health Block Grant was budgeted and expended in FY03. State funds that had been included in this category in prior years were used to provide the match for Medicaid FFP receipts. This reduced their availability to be counted toward the State/Federal partnership expenditures, and this category was impacted more than others.

	FORM 6											
NUMBER AND PE	NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORNS AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASES CONFIRMED, AND TREATED											
Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)												
STATE: NC												
Total Births by Occurrence: 118,292 Reporting Year: 2003												
Type of Screening Tests	(A Receiving at lea (1)	st one Screen	(B) No. of Presumptive	(C) No. Confirmed	(E Needing Tre Received Ti	atment that						
	No.	%	Positive Screens	Cases (2)	No.	%						
Phenylketonuria	117,263	99.1	10	8	8	100						
Congenital Hypothyroidism	117,263	99.1	797	73	73	100						
Galactosemia	117,263	99.1	8	1	1	100						
Sickle Cell Disease	117,263	99.1	119	119	119	100						
Other Screening	(Specify)											
MMA	117,263	99.1	4	3	3	100						
Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH)	117,263	99.1	70	7	7	100						
Other	117,263	99.1	25	6	6	100						
Medium Chain AcylCo-A Dehydrogenase (MCAD)	117,263	99.1	12	12	12	100						
3-MCC	117,263	99.1	5	3	3	100						
LCHADD	117,263	99.1	2	2	2	100						
Screening Progra	ms for Older Ch	ildren & Wome	n (Specify Tests	by name)								
(2) Report only the	(1) Use occurrent births as denominator. (2) Report only those from resident births. (3) Use number of confirmed cases as denominator.											

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main
 Field Name: SickleCellDisease\_Confirmed
 Row Name: SickleCellDisease

Column Name: Confirmed Cases Year: 2006

The number of confirmed cases is equal to the number of presumptive positive screens. The screening test is highly specific and sensitive, thus this is to be expected.

### Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: NC

Reporting Year: 2004

	TITLE V	PRIMARY SOURCES OF COVERAGE							
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %			
Pregnant Women	62,036	68.0	0.0	3.0	29.0	0.0			
Infants < 1 year old	118,178	43.0	0.0	45.0	12.0	0.0			
Children 1 to 22 years old	79,068	69.0	0.0	6.0	25.0	0.0			
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	59,422	64.0	0.0	12.0	24.0	0.0			
Others	135,156	30.0	0.0	10.0	60.0	0.0			
TOTAL	453,860								

Data are a mix of service data for CY04 (majority), FY04, and FY03 (for CSHCN). This is an undercount of people served as the data were taken mostly from the Health Services Information System (local health department reporting and billing system) and additional persons were served through contracts with other providers who do not enter data into HSIS.

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

### FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX (DV BASE AND EXTINACION)

XIX
(BY RACE AND ETHNICITY)
[Sec. 506(A)(2)(C-D)]
STATE: NC

Reporting Year: 2003

#### I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown		
DELIVERIES										
Total Deliveries in State	118,292	86,260	27,106	1,636	3,084	16_	0	190		
Title V Served	63,163	46,059	14,473	874	1,647	9	0	101		
Eligible for Title XIX	50,157	36,574	11,493	694	1,308	7	0	81		
INFANTS										
Total Infants in State	118,339	82,451	28,993	1,648	2,180	59	3,008	0		
Title V Served	117,273	81,709	28,732	1,633	2,160	58	2,981	0		
Eligible for Title XIX	50,175	34,959	12,293	699	924	25	1,275	0		

#### II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

				HISPANIC OR LATINO (Sub-categories by country or area of origin)				
	( A ) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	(C) Ethnicity Not Reported	( B.1 ) Mexican	( B.2 ) Cuban	( B.3 ) Puerto Rican	( B.4 ) Central and South American	( B.5 ) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	102,110	16,077	105	12,221	127	669	2,930	130
Title V Served	54,522	8,584	56					
Eligible for Title XIX	36,744	13,412	0					
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	102,110	16,077	105	12,221	127	669	2,930	130
Title V Served	94,780	14,057						
Eligible for Title XIX	49,724	13,412						

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTotal\_More Row Name: Total Deliveries in State

Column Name: More Than One Race Reported

Field Note:

More than one race is not recorded by Vital Statistics.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleV\_TotalHispanic

Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Total Hispanic data is estimated based on percentage of population as a whole. Specific subcategories are not available.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX\_TotalHispanic Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX

Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Total Hispanic data is estimated based on percentage of population as a whole. Specific subcategories are not available.

**Section Number:** II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity **Field Name:** InfantsTitleV\_TotalHispanic

Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Total Hispanic data is estimated based on percentage of population as a whole. Specific subcategories are not available.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX\_TotalHispanic Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Total Hispanic data is estimated based on percentage of population as a whole. Specific subcategories are not available.

## FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: NC

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	1-800-FOR-BABY	1-800-FOR-BABY	800 FOR BABY 800-367-	(800) FOR-BABY(800) 36	(800) FOR-BABY(800) 36
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	NC Family Health Resource Line	NC Family Health Resource Line	NC Family Health and Child Care Resource Line	First Step Hotline and Health Check Hotline	First Step Hotline and Health Check Hotline
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Louis Cook	Louis Cook	Alyson Peek	Betty Marrow-Taylor	Betty Marrow-Taylor
Contact Person's     Telephone Number	919-807-3007	919-807-3007	919-807-3007	(919) 361-8494	(919) 361-8494
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0		43,702	34,295	35,733

# FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(8)] STATE: NC

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number					
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name					
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"					
Contact Person's     Telephone Number					
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0	0	0	0	0

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main Field Name: hnumber\_2

Row Name: State MCH toll-free hotline telephone number

Column Name: FY Field Note:

1-800-FOR-BABY translates to the following phone number: 1-800-367-2229

Section Number: Main Field Name: calls\_2

Row Name: Number of calls received On the State MCH Hotline This reporting period

Column Name: FY

Year: 2004
Field Note:
These43,702 calls were calls received and responded to. There were actually over 60,000 calls made and received at the Resource Line, including after hours calls and hang-ups.

#### **FORM 10** TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2006 [SEC. 506(A)(1)]

STATE: NC

#### 1. State MCH Administration:

The Title V Maternal and Child Health Block Grant is administered by the NC Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Public Health, Women's and Children's Health Section. Programs and services within the Women's and Children's Health Section's administrative control include: Maternal Health Services, Women's Preventive Health Services (including the Title X program), Children's Preventive Health Services, Children's Specialized Health Services, Genetic Services, Immunization Services, Early Intervention Services, and Nutrition Services (including the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children [WIC], Child and Adult Care Food Program, and Summer Food Service Program for Children).

#### **Block Grant Funds**

2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$ 17,434,522
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$ 0
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$ 41,825,405
5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$ 0
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$ 48,788,897
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$ 66,306,424
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$ 174,355,248

9. Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:

	local health departments
hos	spitals and health care centers
	health care professionals

- 10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7)
  - 62,036 a. Pregnant Women 118,178 b. Infants < 1 year old 79,068 c. Children 1 to 22 years old 59,422 d CSHCN
- 11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:
- a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services:

e Others

The Child Service Coordination Program assures that children and their families have access to care coordination services. CSC services are an entitlement for children eligible under the Individuals with Disabilities Act. Child Service Coordinators work cooperatively with a wide range of health and social service providers across the state. Maternity Care Coordination is provided as part of the Baby Love Program. Baby Love is co-administered by the WCHS and the Division of Medical Assistance, both within the Department of Health and Human Services.

135,156

#### b. Population-Based Services:

(max 2500 characters)

The Child Fatality Task Force is a legislative study commission established in 1991. The mission is to study the causes of child deaths and child abuse in the state. Staff are housed in the WCHS. Task Force members represent advocacy groups, elected officials, governmental health and social services agencies, the General Assembly, and the public. The Healthy Child North Carolina Campaign is a public education and public awareness program designed to enhance health and safety conditions for children in regulated child care settings. The initiative is sponsored by the WCHS, the North Carolina Pediatric Society, and the Division of Child Development.

#### c. Infrastructure Building Services:

(max 2500 characters)

Healthy Beginnings funds community-based infant mortality reduction projects with the express purpose of reducing racial disparities in infant mortality. In 1992, the state legislature authorized the Section to oversee funding of Comprehensive Adolescent Health Care Projects. These are community-based projects to increase access to comprehensive health care services for youth ages 10 to 19 within school-based and school-linked centers.

- 12. The primary Title V Program contact person:
- 13. The children with special health care needs (CSHCN) contact person:

Name	Kevin Ryan, MD, MPH	Name	Carol Tant, MPH
Title	Chief, Women's and Children's Health Section	Title	Head, Children and Youth Branch
Address	North Carolina Division of Public Health, MSC 1928	Address	North Carolina Division of Public Health, MSC 1928
City	Raleigh	City	Raleigh
State	NC	State	NC
Zip	27609-3811	Zip	27609-3811

Phone 9	9-707-5512 Phone	919-707-5610
Fax 9º	9-870-4828 Fax	919-870-4880
Email kevin.ryan	@ncmail.net Email	carol.tant@ncmail.net
Web	Web	

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 10
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

### **FORM 11** TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES [Secs 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: NC

Р	ΕR	FOF	RMANC	E ME	ASURE	# 01
---	----	-----	-------	------	-------	------

The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs (e.g. phenylketonuria and hemoglobinopathies) who receive appropriate follow up as defined by their State.

c appropriate follow up as defined by	aron Grato.				
		Annual O	bjective and Perfori	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				100	100
Annual Indicator	100	100	100	100	100.0
Numerator		-			234
Denominator					234
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual O	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	100	100	100	100	100
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future	e Objectives for the aline year data.	pove years. Numerat	or, Denominator and	Annual Indicators are

#### PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02

The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they receive. (CSHCN survey)

75

			Annual O	bjective and	Perforn	nance Data			
	2000	2001		2002		2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective							75		75
Annual Indicator					65.3		65.3		65.3
Numerator									
Denominator									
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final		Final	
			Annual O	bjective and	Perforn	nance Data			
	2005	2006		2007		2008		2009	

75

**Annual Indicator** 

**Annual Performance Objective** 

Numerator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators are not required for future year data.

75

75

75

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 03					
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	o receive coordinate	ed, ongoing, compreh	ensive care within a n	nedical home. (CSHC	CN Survey)
		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				75	75
Annual Indicator			55.6	55.6	55.6
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	75	75	75	75	75
Annual Indicator					
N	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for the a	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators a
Numerator					
Numerator Denominator	not required for full	ure year data.			
	not required for full	ure year data.			
Denominator	not required for full	ure year data.			
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	not required for full		or public insurance to p	pay for the services t	hey need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	not required for full	dequate private and/c	or public insurance to public insurance and Perfor	. •	hey need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	not required for full	dequate private and/c		. •	ney need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	, ,
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o Annual ( 2001	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor	80 57.3 Final	2004 80 57.3
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor 2002 57.3	80 57.3 Final	2004 80 57.3
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ac	Annual C	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 57.3 Dbjective and Perfor	80 57.3 Final	2004 80 57.3 Final
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000  2005	Annual C  Annual C  2001  Annual C  2006	2002 57.3 Dbjective and Perfor 2007 80	### 1003   80   57.3	2004 80 57.3 Final 2009
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000  2005  80	Annual ( 2001  Annual ( 2006  80  De Objectives for the a	2002 57.3  Objective and Perfor	### 1003   80   57.3	2004 80 57.3 Final 2009

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 05					
Percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whose Survey)	amilies report the co	ommunity-based ser	vice systems are orga	nized so they can use	e them easily. (CSHC
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				90	90
Annual Indicator			80.6	80.6	80.6
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
			Objective and Perfor		
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	90	90	90	90	90
Annual Indicator	Please fill in only th	e Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor. Denominator and	Annual Indicators ar
Numerator	not required for futu	ıre year data.			
Denominator	not required for futu	ıre year data.			
Denominator	not required for futu	ıre year data.			
Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	· 	•	on to all aspects of adu	ult life. (CSHCN Surve	
Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	· 	ary to make transition	on to all aspects of add	•	
Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	· 	ary to make transition	•	•	
Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	the services necess	ary to make transitic  Annual  2001	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	ey)
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received	the services necess	ary to make transitic  Annual  2001	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	ey)
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective	the services necess	ary to make transitic Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	the services necess	ary to make transitic Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	the services necess	ary to make transitic Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	the services necess	ary to make transitic Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor	2003 5.8	2004 5.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	the services necess	ary to make transition Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor	2003 5.8 Provisional	2 <b>004</b> 5.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	the services necess	ary to make transition Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 5.8	2003 5.8 Provisional	2 <b>004</b> 5.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	the services necess 2000 2005	Annual  Annual	Objective and Performance 2002 5.8 Objective and Performance	Provisional	2004 5.8 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	the services necess 2000 2005 5.8	Annual 2006 5.8	Objective and Performance  5.8  Objective and Performance 2007	Provisional  mance Data  5.8  Provisional  mance Data  2008  5.8	2004 5.8 Provisional 2009

Percent of 19 to 35 month olds who have received full schedule of ac Haemophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.	je appropriate immu	ınizations a	gainst Meas	sles, Mumps	, Rubella	, Polio, Dip	ohtheria, Te	etanus, Pe	ertussis,
			Annual C	Objective and	d Perfor	mance Da	<u>ta</u>		
	2000	2001		2002		2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	90		90		90		90		90
Annual Indicator	r <u>80.6</u>		80.6		80.7		85.6		86.
Numerato	r								
Denominato	r								
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	?					Final		Final	
	2005	2006	Annual C	Objective and	d Perfor	mance Da 2008	<u>ta</u>	2000	
Annual Performance Objective	<b>2005</b> 90	2006	90	2007	90	2008	90	2009	9
Annual Performance Objective			30		30		30		-
Annual Indicato	Places fill in only	he Ohiectiv	os for the a	shove veere	<b>.</b>	or Donom	inatar and	۸ ا ا	
Numerato					Numerai	or Denom	imaior and	Annual in	ndicators
	not required for fu	ture year d	ata.	above years.	Numerat	or, Denom	imator and	Annuai in	idicators
Denominato	not required for fu	ture year d	ata.	above years.	Numerai	or, Denom	imator and	Annuai in	idicators
Denominato	not required for fu	ture year d	ata.	above years.	Numerat	or, Denom	ilinator and	Annuai in	idicators
Denominato	not required for tu	ture year d	ata.	above years.	Numerai	or, Denom	illiator and	Annuai in	idicators
Denominato	not required for tu	ture year d	ata.					Annuai in	idicators
Denominato	not required for tu	ture year d	ata.	Objective and				2004	Idicators
Denominato	2000	ture year d	ata.	Objective and		mance Da			
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	2000 39	ture year d	Annual C	Objective and	d Perfor	mance Da	<u>ta</u>		3
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective	2000 39 37.2	ture year d	Annual C	Objective and	d Perfor	mance Da	ta 32		3 26.
Penominator  REFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 39 37.2 5,275	ture year d	Annual C 38.5 32.7	Objective and 2002	32 30.4	mance Da	ta 32 28.6		3 26 4,37
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective	2000 39 37.2 5,275 141,681	ture year d	Annual C 38.5 32.7 5,080	Objective and 2002	32 30.4 4,726	mance Da	32 28.6 4,589		3 26. 4,37 163,00
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	2000 39 37.2 5,275 141,681	ture year d	Annual C 38.5 32.7 5,080	Objective and 2002	32 30.4 4,726	mance Da 2003	32 28.6 4,589	2004	3 26. 4,37
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	2000 39 37.2 5,275 141,681	ture year d	Annual C 38.5 32.7 5,080 155,309	Objective and 2002	32 30.4 4,726 55,551	mance Da 2003 Final	32 28.6 4,589 160,414	2004	3 26. 4,37
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	2000 39 37.2 5,275 141,681	ture year d	Annual C 38.5 32.7 5,080 155,309	Objective and 2002	32 30.4 4,726 55,551	mance Da 2003 Final	32 28.6 4,589 160,414	2004	3 26. 4,37
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	2000 39 37.2 5,275 141,681	2001	Annual C 38.5 32.7 5,080 155,309	Objective and 2002	32 30.4 4,726 55,551	mance Da 2003 Final	32 28.6 4,589 160,414	2004 Final	3 26. 4,37
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 he rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000  2000  2000  2000  2005  2005	2001 2006	Annual C 38.5 32.7 5,080 155,309  Annual C	Dbjective and 2002	32 30.4 4,726 55,551 d Perfor	mance Da 2003  Final  mance Da 2008	32 28.6 4,589 160,414 ta	2004 Final	26 4,33 163,00
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000  39  37.2  5,275  141,681	2001	Annual C  38.5  32.7  5,080  155,309  Annual C	Dbjective and 2002	32 30.4 4,726 55,551 d Perfor	mance Da 2003  Final  mance Da 2008	32 28.6 4,589 160,414 ta	2004 Final	26 4,3 163,00

an at least one per	annont molar	tooth				
on at least one pem			Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
2000	2001	Ailliuai C	2002	2003	200	04
50		50	50		50	5
34.3		37.0	37.0		37	41.
29,151	3	30,603	32,668		_	31,45
84,892	8	82,710	88,293			76,71
				Provisional	Fin	al
2005		Annual C	•		200	na
	2000	50				5
					_	
Please fill in only th	e Ohiectives	for the a	shove years. Numerat	or Denominator	and Annu	al Indicators
not required for fut	ire vear data	101 1116 8	above years. Numerar	or, Denominator	and Anno	iai iiiulcatois
	,	-				
notor vehicle crashe	<u>A</u>		Objective and Perfor			
2000	•	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	200	
2000	<u>A</u>	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002 5.5	2003	5	_
<b>2000</b> 3.5 4.9	<u>A</u>	3 5.9	2002 5.5 5.6	2003	5.3	4.
2000 3.5 4.9 77	2001	3 5.9 99	2002 5.5 5.6 94	2003	5.3	4.
<b>2000</b> 3.5 4.9	2001	3 5.9 99	2002 5.5 5.6 94	2003	5 5.3 90 84	4. 7 1,717,97
2000 3.5 4.9 77	2001	3 5.9 99	2002 5.5 5.6 94	1,706,5	5.3	4. 7 1,717,97
2000 3.5 4.9 77	1,67	3 5.9 99 71,411	2002 5.5 5.6 94	1,706,5	5 5.3 90 84	4. 7 1,717,97
2000 3.5 4.9 77	1,67	3 5.9 99 71,411	2002 5.5 5.6 94 1,682,289	1,706,5	5 5.3 90 84	4. 7 1,717,97 al
3.5 4.9 77 1,560,534	2001 	3 5.9 99 71,411	2002  5.5  5.6  94  1,682,289  Objective and Perfor	1,706,5 Final	5 5.3 90 84 Fin	4. 7 1,717,97 al
2000 3.5 4.9 77 1,560,534 2005	2001 1,67	3 5.9 99 71,411 Annual C	2002  5.5  5.6  94  1,682,289  Objective and Perfor	1,706,5 Final  mance Data 2008	5 3.3 90 84 Fin	4 7 1,717,97 al
	2000 50 34.3 29,151 84,892 2005 50	2000 2001 2001 2001 2001 2001 2001 2001	2000 2001 50 50 34.3 37.0 29,151 30,603 84,892 82,710 Annual C	Annual Objective and Perfor 2000 2001 2002 50 50 50 50 34.3 37.0 37.0 37.0 29,151 30,603 32,668 84,892 82,710 88,293 2005 2006 2007 50 50 50 50	Annual Objective and Performance Data 2000 2001 2002 2003  50 50 50 50  34.3 37.0 37.0  29,151 30,603 32,668  84,892 82,710 88,293  Provisional  Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008  50 50 50 50	Annual Objective and Performance Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2006 50 50 50 50 50 34.3 37.0 37.0 37. 29,151 30,603 32,668 84,892 82,710 88,293 Provisional Fin  Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 206

- ·	irge.				
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	75	75	75	75	75
Annual Indicator	43.0	44.5	46.3	46.7	48.2
Numerator	31,566	33,517	34,656	34,592	36,422
Denominator	73,456	75,384	74,811	74,043	75,57
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•			Final	Final
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	50	55	60	65	7
FORMANCE MEASURE # 12 sentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	hospital discharge.				
			Objective and Perfor		
entage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
entage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective	2000	<b>2001</b> 85	<b>2002</b> 95	<b>2003</b> 95	9
entage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000 75 73.0	2001 85 86.5	95 85.6	95 87.6	87.
entage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 75 73.0 85,408	2001 85 86.5	95 85.6	<b>2003</b> 95	9 87.
entage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 75 73.0	2001 85 86.5	95 85.6 102,196	95 87.6	9 87. 103,98
entage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 75 73.0 85,408 116,997	85 86.5 101,937	95 85.6 102,196	95 87.6 102,988	9 87. 103,98
entage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 75 73.0 85,408 116,997	85 86.5 101,937 117,885	95 85.6 102,196	95 87.6 102,988 117,501 Final	9 87. 103,98 118,49 Final
entage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 75 73.0 85,408 116,997	85 86.5 101,937 117,885	95 85.6 102,196 119,372	95 87.6 102,988 117,501 Final	99 87. 103,98 118,49

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 13								
ercent of children without health insurance.								
			Annual C	Objective and Perfo		a.		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective			10	10		9		
	10.4		11	11.1		12.3		12.
Numerator	205,494			242,660		267,020		276,66
Denominator	1,977,723			2,181,520	2,	177,890		2,156,72
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Final	
			Annual C	Objective and Perfo	rmance Dat	<u>a</u>		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	10		10	10		9		
Annual Indicator								
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut			above years. Numera	itor, Denomi	nator and	Annual Ir	ndicators
	Please fill in only the not required for fut			above years. Numera	itor, Denomi	nator and	Annual Ir	ndicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year dat	a.	above years. Numera	itor, Denomi	nator and	Annual Ir	ndicators
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year dat	ogram.	above years. Numera			Annual Ir	ndicators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year dat	ogram.				Annual Ir	ndicators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the 1	ure year dat	ogram.	Objective and Perfo	rmance Dat			
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the 1 2000	ure year dat  Medicaid Pro  2001	ogram.	Objective and Perfo 2002	rmance Dat	<u>a</u>		ę
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the 1 2000	ure year dat  Medicaid Pr	ogram.  Annual C	Objective and Perfo 2002 98	rmance Dat	<b>a</b> 90		87
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	service paid by the I	wre year dat  Wedicaid Pro  2001	97 96.1	Dbjective and Perfo 2002 98 86.1	rmance Dat 2003	<b>a</b> 90 86.8		87 766,05
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the service paid by th	wre year dat  Wedicaid Pro  2001	97 96.1 726,979	2002 98 86.1 688,080	rmance Dat 2003	90 86.8 727,653		\$ 87. 766,05
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the service paid by th	wre year dat  Wedicaid Pro  2001	97 96.1 756,858	98 86.1 688,080 799,360	rmance Dat 2003 Final	90 86.8 727,653 837,949	2004	\$ 87. 766,05
Rumerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the service paid by th	wre year dat  Wedicaid Pro  2001	97 96.1 756,858	2002 98 86.1 688,080	rmance Dat 2003 Final	90 86.8 727,653 837,949	2004	87 766,05
Rumerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the service paid by th	Wedicaid Pro	97 96.1 756,858	2002  98  86.1  688,080  799,360  Dbjective and Perfo	rmance Dat 2003 Final	90 86.8 727,653 837,949	2004 Final	9 87. 766,05 876,86
Reformance Measure # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the service paid by th	Wedicaid Pro	97 96.1 726,979 Annual C	98 86.1 688,080 799,360  Objective and Perfo 2007	rmance Date 2003  Final rmance Date 2008	90 86.8 727,653 837,949	2004 Final	87 766,05 876,86
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fute service paid by the	Wedicaid Pro  2001  2006  De Objective	97 96.1 726,979 756,858 Annual C	98 86.1 688,080 799,360  Objective and Perfo	rmance Date 2003  Final rmance Date 2008	90 86.8 727,653 837,949	2004 Final	87 766,0 876,8

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15					
he percent of very low birth weight infants among all live births.					
	2000	Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
Amusal Parfarmance Objective		<b>2001</b> 1.8		<b>2003</b> 1.7	<b>2004</b> 1.
Annual Performance Objective					
	1.9			1.9	1.
Numerator	2,186		2,293	2,175	2,11
Denominator	113,755	120,247	118,112	117,307	118,29
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		A	Objective and Bester		
	2005	<u>Annuai</u> 2006	Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective				1.7	
Annual Indicator	Diaman Cill in and All	he Ohiectives for the	ahove years. Numera	tor Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.	above years. Numera		Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 he rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through	Please fill in only the not required for futions and the second sec	ure year data.			Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only the not required for futions and the second sec	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data	
Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 he rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  gh 19.  2000	ure year data.  Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator  EERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  gh 19.  2000	ure year data.  Annual 2001 5	Objective and Perfor 2002 5	mance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future for future fill in only the not required for future fill in only the not required for future fill in only the not required for future fill in only the notion of f	Annual 2001 5 7.1 38	Objective and Perfor 2002 5 8.7 49	mance Data 2003 5 5.2	2004
Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future for future fill in only the not required for future fill fill fill fill fill fill fill fil	Annual 2001 5 7.1 38	Objective and Perfor 2002 5 8.7 49	mance Data 2003  5  5.2  30	2004 
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 he rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future for future fill in only the not required for future fill fill fill fill fill fill fill fil	Annual 2001 5 7.1 38	Objective and Perfor 2002 5 8.7 49	5 5.2 30 572,740	2004 7. 4 581,84
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 he rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  19h 19.  2000  6  8.8  45  510,687	Annual 2001 5 7.1 38 535,356	Objective and Perfor 2002 5 8.7 49 560,336	5 5.2 30 572,740 Final	2004  7.  4  581,84  Final
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  19h 19.  2000  6  8.8  45  510,687	Annual 2001 5 7.1 38 535,356 Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor 2002  5  8.7  49  560,336  Objective and Perfor 2007	5 5.2 30 572,740 Final smance Data 2008	2004  7.  4  581,84  Final
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  2000  6  8.8  45  510,687  2005	Annual 2001 5 7.1 38 535,356 Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor 2002  5  8.7  49  560,336  Objective and Perfor 2007	5 5.2 30 572,740 Final	2004  7.  4  581,84  Final
Reformance Measure # 16 the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  19h 19.  2000  6  8.8  45  510,687	Annual 2001 5 7.1 38 535,356 Annual 2006 6	Objective and Perfor 2002  5  8.7  49  560,336  Objective and Perfor 2007	5 5.2 30 572,740 Final 2008 5	2004  7. 4 581,84 Final  2009
Reformance Measure # 16 the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut.  gh 19.  2000  6  8.8  45  510,687   Please fill in only the not required for fut.	Annual 2001 5 7.1 38 535,356 Annual 2006 6	Objective and Perfor 2002  5  8.7  49  560,336  Objective and Perfor 2007	5 5.2 30 572,740 Final 2008 5	2004 581,8 Final 2009

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 17								
ercent of very low birth weight infants delivered at facilities for high-r	isk deliveries and ne							
	2000	<u>A</u> 2001	_	ective and Perfor	mance Data 2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective		2001	82	82	2000	83	200-	83
	79.8		81.8	79.6		78.1		80.
Numerator			1,600	1,562		1,447		1,45
	1,920		1,956	1,963		1,852		1,80
Is the Data Provisional or Final?			1,900	1,300	Final	1,002	Final	1,00
is the bata i total share of i mai.					Tinai		I IIIGI	
		A	nnual Obje	ective and Perfor	mance Data			
	2005	2006	2	2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	83		83	83		83		8
Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives f ure year data.	for the abov	ve years. Numerat	or, Denomina	tor and A	Annual Ind	dicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year data.	for the abov	ve years. Numerat	or, Denomina	tor and A	Annual Ind	licators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for futured for futured first triangle for the first triangle for	ure year data. imester.	nnual Obje	ective and Perfor	mance Data	tor and A		licators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data.	nnual Obje 2	ective and Perfor			2004	
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future ginning in the first trice.  2000	ure year data. imester. A 2001	nnual Obje 2 86	ective and Perfor	mance Data	87		3
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future ginning in the first trice.  2000  85  84.5	imester.  2001	nnual Obje 2 86 84.0	ective and Perfor 2002 86 83.9	mance Data 2003	87 83.7		83.
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future ginning in the first trice 2000 85 84.5 96,079	imester. A 2001	nnual Obje 2 86 84.0 0,988	ective and Perfor	mance Data 2003	87		83
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future ginning in the first trice.  2000  85  84.5  96,079  113,755	imester. A 2001	nnual Obje 2 86 84.0	ective and Perfor 2002 86 83.9	mance Data 2003 9	87 83.7	2004	83. 99,03
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future ginning in the first trice.  2000  85  84.5  96,079  113,755	imester. A 2001	nnual Obje 2 86 84.0 0,988	ective and Perfor 2002 86 83.9 99,092	mance Data 2003	87 83.7 8,226		83. 99,03
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 reent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future ginning in the first trice.  2000  85  84.5  96,079  113,755	imester.  2001  10	86 84.0 0,988 0,247	ective and Perfor 2002 86 83.9 99,092 118,112	9. 11' Final	87 83.7 8,226	2004	83. 99,03
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future ginning in the first trice.  2000  85  84.5  96,079  113,755	imester.  2001  10	nnual Obje 2 86 84.0 0,988 0,247	ective and Perfor 2002 86 83.9 99,092	9. 11' Final	87 83.7 8,226	2004	83. 99,03
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 rcent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future ginning in the first trice.  2000  85  84.5  96,079  113,755	imester.  2001  10  12	nnual Obje 2 86 84.0 0,988 0,247	ective and Perfor 2002 86 83.9 99,092 118,112	mance Data 2003  9 11' Final	87 83.7 8,226	2004 Final	8 83. 99,03 118,29
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 ercent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Indicator  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	imester.  2001  10 12 2006	nnual Obje  86  84.0  0,988  0,247  nnual Obje 2  87	ective and Performance 2002  86  83.9  99,092  118,112  ective and Performance 2007  87	9 11 Final mance Data 2008	87 83.7 8,226 7,307	2004 Final	8 83. 99,03 118,29

TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 1							
umber of children affected in substantiated reports of abuse and/or r	neglect as compared	•	•				
	2000	<u>A</u> 2001	Annual O	bjective and Perfor	mance Data 2003	2004	
Annual Barfannana Ohiastina			30.000	30,000	2003		• 30.00
Annual Performance Objective							,
	31,828	<u> </u>	32,581	32,883	30,	)16	27,31
Numerator							
Denominator							
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final	Fina	I
	2005	2006	Annual O	bjective and Perfor	mance Data 2008	2009	,
Annual Professional Children			27,000	26.500	2006		<b>,</b> 26.00
Annual Performance Objective			27,000	26,500	20,	500	20,00
Annual Indicator	Diamentill in automate	a Ohiactivas	for the at	oove years. Numerat	or Denominato	and Annua	Undicators
Numerator	riease illi ili olliy ti	ie Objectives	ioi tile at	Juve years. Murrierar	oi, Denominato	anu Amua	
							iiiaicatois
Donominator	not required for futi	ure year data.					maioators
Denominator	not required for futi	ure year data.					maicators
Denominator	not required for fut	ure year data.					i maioators
Denominator	not required for fut	ure year data.					muldutors
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4							- Indicators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4		rograms/dL) c	of lead.	bjective and Perfor	mance Data		- Indicators
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4		rograms/dL) c	of lead.	bjective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004	
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	n or equal to 10 mic	rograms/dL) c	of lead.			<b>200</b> 4	1
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of children less than 6 with elevated blood levels (greater than	n or equal to 10 mic	rograms/dL) c <u>A</u> <b>2001</b>	of lead. Annual O	2002	2003		<b>1</b>
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of children less than 6 with elevated blood levels (greater than Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	on or equal to 10 mice 2000 0.8	rograms/dL) c <u>A</u> 2001	of lead.  Annual O	<b>2002</b> 0.8	2003	0.8	<b>1</b> 0 1
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of children less than 6 with elevated blood levels (greater than Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 0.8	rograms/dL) c A 2001	of lead.  Annual O	2002 0.8 1.7	2003	1.7	1 0 1 2,24
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4  recent of children less than 6 with elevated blood levels (greater than  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	0.8 2.5 2,686 105,845	rograms/dL) c A 2001	0.8 2.4 2,781	0.8 1.7 2,016	2003	1.7	0. 1. 2,24 121,69
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4  recent of children less than 6 with elevated blood levels (greater than  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	0.8 2.5 2,686 105,845	rograms/dL) c A 2001	0.8 2.4 2,781	0.8 1.7 2,016	2, 120,	0.8 1.7 16 966	0 1, 2,24 121,69
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of children less than 6 with elevated blood levels (greater than Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	0.8 2.5 2,686 105,845	rograms/dL) c 2001	0.8 2.4 2,781	0.8 1.7 2,016	2003 2, 120, Final	0.8 1.7 16 966	0. 1. 2,24 121,69
ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of children less than 6 with elevated blood levels (greater than Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 0.8 2.5 2,686 105,845	rograms/dL) c 2001	0.8 2.4 2,781	2002 0.8 1.7 2,016 119,975	2003 2, 120, Final	0.8 1.7 16 966	1 0. 1. 2,24 121,69
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 recent of children less than 6 with elevated blood levels (greater than Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 0.8 2.5 2,686 105,845	rograms/dL) c 2001  11	0.8 2.4 2,781	2002  0.8  1.7  2,016  119,975  bjective and Perfor	2, 120, Final mance Data 2008	0.8 1.7 16 966 Fina	1 0. 1. 2,24 121,69
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 ercent of children less than 6 with elevated blood levels (greater than Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 0.8 2.5 2,686 105,845	rograms/dL) c 2001  11	0.8 2.4 2,781 15,856	2002 0.8 1.7 2,016 119,975 bjective and Performance 2007	2, 120, Final mance Data 2008	0.8 1.7 16 066 Fina	<b>1</b>

rcentage of women who gained > 15 pounds during pregnancy.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	89	90	90.5	91	91.
Annual Indicator	89.6	84.6	89.3	89.3	91.8
Numerator	101,927	101,735	105,423	104,742	108,28
Denominator	113,755	120,247	118,112	117,307	118,292
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	92	92	93	93	9
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	not required for futu	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	for, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
rcent of children 5-18 who are obese. Obesity is conservatively de-	ined as a hody mas				
	illed as a body illas	, , ,	·		nder and age.
	·	Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	· ·
Annual Performance Objective	2000	<u>Annual</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	<b>2000</b>	Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	<b>2004</b>
Annual Indicator	2000 15 19.2	Annual 2001 15 22.4	Objective and Perfor 2002 15 22.2	2003 15 23.0	2004
Annual Indicator	2000 15 19.2 4,171	2001 15 22.4 5,362	Objective and Perfor 2002 15 22.2 5,234	2003 15 23.0 5,219	2004 1 24. 5,05
Annual Indicator	2000 15 19.2 4,171 21,773	2001 15 22.4 5,362	Objective and Perfor 2002 15 22.2 5,234	2003 15 23.0	2004 1 24. 5,05
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 15 19.2 4,171 21,773	Annual 2001  15  22.4  5,362  23,890	Objective and Perfor 2002  15 22.2 5,234 23,622	2003  15  23.0  5,219  22,688  Final	2004 1 24. 5,05 20,94
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 15 19.2 4,171 21,773	Annual 2001  15  22.4  5,362  23,890	Objective and Perfor 2002 15 22.2 5,234	2003  15  23.0  5,219  22,688  Final	2004 1 24. 5,05 20,94
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 15 19.2 4,171 21,773	2001  15  22.4  5,362  23,890  Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002  15 22.2 5,234 23,622  Objective and Perfor 2007	2003  15 23.0 5,219 22,688  Final	2004 1 24. 5,05 20,94 Final

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8					
Percent of adolescents in public schools with access to services of a	school-based or sch	ool-linked health care	e center.		
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		9	9	10	1
	9.4		9.6	6.8	5.
Numerator	60,607	63,972	63,371	47,569	39,08
Denominator	642,172	660,055	662,000	699,436	723,41
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
	2025		Objective and Perfor		2000
Amount Bouformanno Objective	2005	2006	<b>2007</b> 10	<b>2008</b> 10	<b>2009</b>
Annual Performance Objective		10	10	10	- 1
Annual Indicator					
	Diagon fill in only th	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year data.	above years. Numeral		d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9  The percent of women who smoke during pregnancy.	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data.			d Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data	
Numerator Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9  The percent of women who smoke during pregnancy.	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data.  Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 13.5	rmance Data 2003	<b>2004</b>
Numerator  Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9  The percent of women who smoke during pregnancy.  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data.  Annual  2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 13.5	rmance Data 2003	<b>2004</b> 1 12.
Numerator  Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9  The percent of women who smoke during pregnancy.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annual 2001 14 14.0 16,786	Objective and Perfor 2002 13.5 14.0 16,479	mance Data 2003 13 13.2	2004 1 12. 14,99
Numerator  Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9  The percent of women who smoke during pregnancy.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000  14.0  16,225  113,755	Annual 2001 14 14.0 16,786	Objective and Perfor 2002 13.5 14.0 16,479	mance Data 2003  13  13.2  15,440	2004 1 12. 14,99
Numerator  Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9  The percent of women who smoke during pregnancy.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000  14.0  16,225  113,755	Annual 2001 14 14.0 16,786	Objective and Perfor 2002 13.5 14.0 16,479	13.2 15,440 117,307	2004 1 12. 14,99 118,29
Numerator  Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9  The percent of women who smoke during pregnancy.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000  14.0  16,225  113,755	Annual 2001 14 14.0 16,786 120,247	Objective and Perfor 2002 13.5 14.0 16,479	13 2003 13 13.2 15,440 117,307 Final	2004 1 12. 14,99 118,29
Numerator  Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9  The percent of women who smoke during pregnancy.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000  14.0  16,225  113,755	Annual 2001 14 14.0 16,786 120,247	Objective and Perfor 2002  13.5  14.0  16,479  118,112	13 2003 13 13.2 15,440 117,307 Final	2004 1 12 14,99 118,29
Numerator  Denominator  STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9  The percent of women who smoke during pregnancy.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 14.0 14.3 16,225 113,755	Annual 2001 14 14.0 16,786 120,247	Objective and Perfor 2002  13.5  14.0  16,479  118,112  Objective and Perfor 2007	13 2003 13 13.2 15,440 117,307 Final	2004 12 14,99 118,29 Final
STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 9 The percent of women who smoke during pregnancy.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000  14.0  14.3  16,225  113,755	Annual 2001 14 14.0 16,786 120,247 Annual 2006 12	Objective and Perfor 2002  13.5  14.0  16,479  118,112  Objective and Perfor 2007	13.2 15,440 117,307 Final 12008	2004 1 12 14,99 118,29 Final 2009

ne percent of women responding to the Pregnancy Risk Assessmer ture.		_						
	2000	<u>A</u> 2001		ective and Perfor	mance Data 2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective			40	40	2003	40	2004	40
			45.3	42.6		40.6		42.2
	41.9			42.0		40.0		42.2
Numerator								
Denominator								
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•				Final		Final	
	2005	2006		ective and Perfor	2008		2009	
	2000	2000	_		2000		2000	_
Annual Performance Objective	40		39	39		38		3
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator		he Objectives t	for the above	39 ve years. Numera	tor, Denomina	38 tor and <i>i</i>	Annual Indi	
Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectives ture year data.		_	tor, Denomina		Annual Indi	
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut		for the abov	ve years. Numera			Annual Indi	3 icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only the not required for fut	A	for the abov	ve years. Numeral	mance Data			
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 recent of women of childbearing age taking folic acid regularly.	Please fill in only the not required for fut		for the abov	ve years. Numera			Annual Indi	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 recent of women of childbearing age taking folic acid regularly.  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut	2001	for the abov	ve years. Numerate very years. Numerate very years. Numerate very very very very very very very ver	mance Data	tor and $t$		icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 recent of women of childbearing age taking folic acid regularly.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	2001	for the abov	ective and Perfor 002 50 42.2	mance Data	tor and A		icators 5
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 recent of women of childbearing age taking folic acid regularly.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	2001	for the abov	ective and Perfor 002 50 42.2	mance Data	tor and A		icators 5
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 recent of women of childbearing age taking folic acid regularly.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	2001	for the abov	ective and Perfor 002 50 42.2	mance Data 2003	50 42.2	2004	icators 5
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 recent of women of childbearing age taking folic acid regularly.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	2001	for the abov	ective and Perfor 002 50 42.2	mance Data	50 42.2		icators 5
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 recent of women of childbearing age taking folic acid regularly.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	2001	for the above	ective and Perfor 002 50 42.2	mance Data 2003  Provisional	50 42.2	2004	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 recent of women of childbearing age taking folic acid regularly.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	2001	for the above	ective and Perfor 002 50 42.2	mance Data 2003  Provisional	50 42.2	2004	icators 5
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 recent of women of childbearing age taking folic acid regularly.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut 2000 46.9	2001 	for the above	ective and Perfor 002 50 42.2	mance Data 2003  Provisional	50 42.2	2004 Final	icators 5

			Annual C	bjective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001		2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective			1:2100	1:2000	1:1900	1:170
Annual Indicator	1:2198		1:2075	2,047.0	1,918.1	1,897.
Numerator				1,271,995	1,279,768	1,311,16
Denominator				621.4	667.2	691.
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final	Final
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006		2007	2008	2009
			1,300	1,200	1,200	1,15

## FORM NOTES FOR FORM 11

Manual indicators (counts) are used for state performance measures #1, 11, and 12.

## FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Appropriate follow-up as defined by NC is provided to all newborns who are screened and confirmed with conditions mandated through the NC Metabolic Screening Program.

2. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Appropriate follow-up as defined by NC is provided to all newborns who are screened and confirmed with conditions mandated through the NC Metabolic Screening

Program.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Appropriate follow-up as defined by NC is provided to all newborns who are screened and confirmed with conditions mandated through the NC Metabolic Screening Program. These data are for CY03 and include testing for the following: Phenylketonuria, Congenital Hypothyroidism, Galactosemia, Sickle Cell Disease, MMA, Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH), Medium Chain AcylCo-A Dehydrogenase (MCAD), 3-MCC, LCHADD, and other tests which accout for 6 cases.

4. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimate from SLAITS.

Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

5. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

7. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

9. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

10. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimate from SLAITS.

11. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note: The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

12. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

13. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimate from SLAITS.

14. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

15. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure.

16. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Because only one of the States (Maine) met the NCHS standards for reliability for PM 6, the 2002 indicator is the national average except for Maine which has its State value noted.

17. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

18. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure

19. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

FY2002 data are from the National Immunization Survey. As this is a weighted estimate, data for the numerator and denominator are omitted.

In FY02, prior data based on 4:3:1 rates were replaced with 4:3:1:3:3 rate data per the National Immunization Survey. FY96 though FY98 is actually based on CY data, but data are reported for FY from FY99 though FY01. As these data are taken from the NIS, actual numerators and denominators are not available.

20. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

FY2003 data are from the National Immunization Survey. As this is a weighted estimate, data for the numerator and denominator are omitted.

21. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

FY2004 (July 1, 2003 to June 30, 2004) data are from the National Immunization Survey. As this is a weighted estimate, data for the numerator and denominator are omitted

22. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

FY year data are actually the prior calendar year, e.g. FY02 is really CY01.

23. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

FY year data are actually the prior calendar year, e.g. FY04 is really CY03.

24. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

These data are based on fifth graders, not third graders. In North Carolina, the surveillance system used to measure the percentage of elementary school children who have received protective sealants on at least one permanent molar tooth was set up to measure fifth graders before the national standard was set at third graders. Data collected in an epidemiologic survey conducted in 1986-87 did not show a statistically significant difference between the percentage of third graders and the percentage of fifth graders.

Beginning in FY02, these date will only be available every other year as efforts are being redirected from annual surveillance activities to promote an increase in the number of sealants placed.

25. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

37% is just an estimate based on the data from 2002. School year data was not collected in FY03 on the proportion of children who have dental sealants because of budget restraints, but should be available for FY04. As part of state supported sealant promotion projects in cooperation with volunteer private practitioners, the Oral Health Section provided 20,272 sealants for 5,364 children

26. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

These data are based on fifth graders, not third graders. In North Carolina, the surveillance system used to measure the percentage of elementary school children who have received protective sealants on at least one permanent molar tooth was set up to measure fifth graders before the national standard was set at third graders. Data collected in an epidemiologic survey conducted in 1986-87 did not show a statistically significant difference between the percentage of third graders and the percentage of fifth graders.

Beginning in FY02, these date will only be available every other year as efforts are being redirected from annual surveillance activities to promote an increase in the number of sealants placed.

27. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

In June 2001 all annual indicators for this measure (1991 - 2000) were revised to reflect the new age group (<14 years versus age 1 to 14) as indicated on the detail sheet with the guidance for the FY02 Block Grant. Data are for the calendar year preceding the fiscal year. In August of each year, the NC Office of State Planning releases certified population estimates for North Carolina and its counties as of July 1 of the previous year. These estimates represent annual average resident population rather than the population on that date.

28. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Unable to determine if breastfed infants are "exclusively" breastfed.

Data from WIC program.

29. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Unable to determine if breastfed infants are "exclusively" breastfed.

Data from WIC program.

30. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Unable to determine if breastfed infants are "exclusively" breastfed.

Data from WIC program on women participating in WIC, not population based.

31. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Universal newborn hearing screening program was implemented in calendar year 2000.

FY data are actually from the previous calendar (e.g., FY03 data is really CY02). The denominator is provided by the State Laboratory and the denominator for resident live births differs somewhat from the denominator used in outcome measures and other performance measures where the data are obtained from Vital Records.

Although NC had previously reported that over 99% of infants born in the state receive newborn hearing screenings prior to discharge from the birthing facility (an over

reporting), a more sophisticated statistical analysis strategy was implemented in FY05 and used on current and past data and the procedures will be applied to data analysis plans in the future. Data for 2000, 2001, and 2003 have been updated using the new methodology.

## 32. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Universal newborn hearing screening program was implemented in calendar year 2000.

FY data are actually from the previous calendar (e.g., FY03 data is really CY02). The denominator is provided by the State Laboratory and the denominator for resident live births differs somewhat from the denominator used in outcome measures and other performance measures where the data are obtained from Vital Records.

Although NC had previously reported that over 99% of infants born in the state receive newborn hearing screenings prior to discharge from the birthing facility (an over reporting), a more sophisticated statistical analysis strategy was implemented in FY05 and used on current and past data and the procedures will be applied to data analysis plans in the future. Data for 2000, 2001, and 2003 have been updated using the new methodology.

## 33. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Universal newborn hearing screening program was implemented in calendar year 2000.

FY data are actually from the previous calendar (e.g., FY03 data is really CY02). The denominator is provided by the State Laboratory and the denominator for resident live births differs somewhat from the denominator used in outcome measures and other performance measures where the data are obtained from Vital Records.

Although NC had previously reported that over 99% of infants born in the state receive newborn hearing screenings prior to discharge from the birthing facility (an over reporting), a more sophisticated statistical analysis strategy was implemented in FY05 and used on current and past data and the procedures will be applied to data analysis plans in the future. Data for 2000, 2001, and 2003 have been updated using the new methodology.

## 34. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data for FY02 are based on pooled March 2001 and 2002 Current Population Surveys estimates. Data for both years are provided by the Urban Institute and Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured.

Data for FY01 are based on pooled March 2000 and 2001 Current Population Surveys estimates. Numerator and denominator are not available.

The methodology described below was repeated to obtain FY00 data. Population estimates for 2000 were used and the number of Medicaid and Health Choice eligibles was determined as of September 2000.

New methodology for FY 99: The number of uninsured was estimated for children in six age/income cells -- age was divided into two categories (less than 6 and 6-18), and income was divided into three categories (<200% FPL, 201-300% and > 300%). In each age category, the total number of children was based on 1999 population estimates from the Office of State Planning. These numbers were distributed across the income cells within each age category based on the income distribution found in the combined 1997, 1998 and 1999 CPS. We subtracted:

- 1) the actual number of Medicaid eligibles in September 1999;
- 2) the actual number of Health Choice eligibles in the month of Speptember 1999; and
- 3) the estimated number of children covered by other non-Medicaid sources of insurance.

The remainder is our estimate of the number of uninsured. There is no comparable data for FY98. Data for this measure are taken from North Carolina's "Annual Report of the State Children's Health Insurance Plans under Title XXI of the Social Security Act" and there are no data available for FY98 in these reports.

Data for FY98 are not available. Objectives were not set at that time, either.

## 35. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

FY03 Data Source: Urban Institute and Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured estimates based on pooled March 2002 and 2003 Current Population Surveys for children <18.

## 36. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

FY04 Data Source: Urban Institute and Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured estimates based on pooled March 2003 and 2004 Current Population Surveys for children <18.

## 37. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The methodology for determining data for this measure changed for FY02 data. In year's past, any claim was counted, but this year, claims which did not include provider contact were eliminated, thus the percentage decreased quite a bit from previous years. Detailed explanation of new methodology follows:

For Federal Fiscal 2002 (10/1/2001-09/30/2002) the number of under 21 XIX enrolled at some point with the year was 799,360.

Under 21 receiving an XIX service, excluding those for which the ONLY claims were the system generated claims to pay the Carolina Access Fees or the Health Check Coordinator Prorated Salaries was 688,080. Under 21 HMO fees were INCLUDED.

Under 21s for whom a claim was paid whether an actual service or a system generated premium or fee was 780,886.

Denominator changed for FY 97 and FY 98 to accurately reflect the number of children that were eligible for Medicaid. The previous number was reflective of all uninsured

children that were below 200% of the Federal poverty rate.

38. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The methodology for determining data for this measure changed starting with FY02 data. In years past, any claim was counted, but beginning with FY02 data, claims which did not include provider contact were eliminated, thus the percentage decreased quite a bit from previous years.

39. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The methodology for determining data for this measure changed starting with FY02 data. In years past, any claim was counted, but beginning with FY02 data, claims which did not include provider contact were eliminated, thus the percentage decreased quite a bit from previous years.

40. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

FY year data are actually the prior calendar year, e.g. FY02 is really CY01.

41. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

FY year data are actually the prior calendar year, e.g. FY02 is really CY01.

42. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

FY year data are actually the prior calendar year, e.g. FY02 is really CY01.

43. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

44. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

FY year data are actually the prior calendar year, e.g. FY02 is really CY01.

45. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

These data include deliveries at 12 facilities originally designated for high-risk deliveries and neonates back in the 1980s. There are other hospitals within the state with NICUs whose deliveries are not included in this count.

FY year data are actually the prior calendar year, e.g. FY02 is really CY01.

46. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

These data include deliveries at 12 facilities originally designated for high-risk deliveries and neonates back in the 1980s. There are other hospitals within the state with NICUs whose deliveries are not included in this count.

FY year data are actually the prior calendar year, e.g. FY02 is really CY01.

47. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data for this indicator for 2001 differ from HSCI#5c because this indicator is based on CY2000 data and HSCI#5c is really CY2001 data., which matches the FY02 (CY01) data in this indicator.

FY year data are actually the prior calendar year, e.g. FY02 is really CY01.

18. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

FY year data are actually the prior calendar year, e.g. FY02 is really CY01.

49. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Manual indicator (count) is used in this state performance measure.

In the FY02 application, the annual indicators for FY97 through FY00 were revised from previous reports to include only unduplicated counts of substatiated cases instead of including duplicated counts when the same child is the subject of more than one investigative assessment during a given reporting period. Unduplicated counts are not available prior to FY97.

50. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Manual indicator (count) is used in this state performance measure.

51. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Manual indicator (count) is used in this state performance measure.

52. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4
Row Name:
Column Name:
Year: 2002
Field Note:

FY year data are actually the prior calendar year, e.g. FY02 is really CY01.

53. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

FY year data are actually the prior calendar year, e.g. FY02 is really CY01.

54. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

FY year data are actually the prior calendar year, e.g. FY02 is really CY01.

55. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

FY year data are actually the prior calendar year, e.g. FY02 is really CY01.

56. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

FY year data are actually the prior calendar year, e.g. FY02 is really CY01.

57. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

North Carolina-Nutrition and Physical Activity Surveillance System (NC-NPASS) includes data on children seen in North Carolina Public Health Sponsored WIC and Child Health Clinics and some School Based Health Centers.

58. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

North Carolina-Nutrition and Physical Activity Surveillance System (NC-NPASS) includes data on children seen in North Carolina Public Health Sponsored WIC and Child Health Clinics and some School Based Health Centers.

FY year data are actually the prior calendar year, e.g. FY02 is really CY01.

9. Section Number: State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data are for School Year, eg. 2002 is August 2001 to May/June 2002.

For FY00 data and beyond, the definition of this indicator has been changed from including children (age 5 to 9) to including only adolescents aged 10 to 19.

60. Section Number: State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data are for School Year, eg. 2002 is August 2001 to May/June 2002.

For FY00 data and beyond, the definition of this indicator has been changed from including children (age 5 to 9) to including only adolescents aged 10 to 19.

61. Section Number: State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

FY year data are actually the prior calendar year, e.g. FY02 is really CY01.

62. Section Number: State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

FY year data are actually the prior calendar year, e.g. FY02 is really CY01.

63. Section Number: State Performance Measure #11

Field Name: SM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

These data are taken from weighted responses to the Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System and are based on previous calendar year data. Release of weighted data for CY01(FY02) has been delayed and has not yet been released by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Once they release the data, this measure can be updated.

64. Section Number: State Performance Measure #11

Field Name: SM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

FY01 data (actually CY00 survey data) was replaced with weighted data in spring 2004. FY03 data have not yet been released from the CDC.

55. Section Number: State Performance Measure #11

Field Name: SM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

PRAMS data are weighted to get the final state percentage, so numerator and denominator data are not available.

66. Section Number: State Performance Measure #12

Field Name: SM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data source is he Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. Unweighted numerator and denominator are not available. Data are based on prior calendar year, e.g., FY02 is really CY01 data.

67. Section Number: State Performance Measure #12

Field Name: SM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

2003 data are not available as the folic acid module was not included in the state's BRFSS for this year. As an estimate has to be entered into the data system, the CY02 data value was entered, but there is no way to know if this is a good estimate or not.

68. Section Number: State Performance Measure #12

Field Name: SM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data source is he Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. Unweighted numerator and denominator are not available. Data are based on prior calendar year, e.g., FY02 is really CY01 data.

**69. Section Number:** State Performance Measure #13

Field Name: SM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

As ratios are not allowed in state performance measures at this point, the actual indicator is not going to be very meaningful for this measure, but one needs to look at the numerator (number of public school students in NC) and denominator (number of er school health nurse FTEs) to get the 1:XXX ratio. The goal is to have one nurse per 750 students, but NC is still a long way from accomplishing that goal at 1:2047.

The indicators for 2006 and 2007 just list the number of students per school health nurse as a ratio isn't allowed.

School health nurse to student ratios were based upon full-time equivalencies of school nurse staff. The number of students and school health nurse FTEs from which the ratios were calculated are as follows:

FY97 Students: 1,183,335 School Nurse FTEs: 425.50 FY98 Students: 1,206,607 School Nurse FTEs: 465.10 FY99 Students: 1,218,135 School Nurse FTEs: 491.25 FY00 Students: 1,237,794 School Nurse FTEs: 563.15 FY01 Students: 1,243,442 School Nurse FTEs: 599.22

70. Section Number: State Performance Measure #13

Field Name: SM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

As ratios are not allowed in state performance measures at this point, the actual indicator is not going to be very meaningful for this measure, but one needs to look at the numerator (number of public school students in NC) and denominator (number of er school health nurse FTEs) to get the 1:XXX ratio. The goal is to have one nurse per 750 students, but NC is still a long way from accomplishing that goal at 1:1918.

The indicators for 2006, 2007, and 2008 just list the number of students per school health nurse as a ratio isn't allowed.

71. Section Number: State Performance Measure #13

Field Name: SM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

As ratios are not allowed in state performance measures at this point, the actual indicator is not going to be very meaningful for this measure, but one needs to look at the numerator (number of public school students in NC) and denominator (number of er school health nurse FTEs) to get the 1:XXX ratio. The goal is to have one nurse per 750 students, but NC is still a long way from accomplishing that goal at 1:1897.

The indicators for 2006 to 2008 just list the number of students per school health nurse as a ratio isn't allowed.

School health nurse to student ratios were based upon full-time equivalencies of school nurse staff. The number of students and school health nurse FTEs from which the ratios were calculated are as follows:

FY97 Students: 1,183,335 School Nurse FTEs: 425.50 FY98 Students: 1,206,607 School Nurse FTEs: 465.10 FY99 Students: 1,218,135 School Nurse FTEs: 491.25 FY00 Students: 1,237,794 School Nurse FTEs: 563.15 FY01 Students: 1,243,442 School Nurse FTEs: 599.22

# FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: NC

	0.7.12								
OUTCOME MEASURE # 01									
The infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births.									
			Annual (	Objective and	Perfo	rmance Da	ata .		
	2000	2001		2002		2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	8.6		8.6		8.6		8.6		8.6
Annual Indicator	8.6		8.5		8.2		8.2		8.2
Numerator	1,034		1,005		957		967		
Denominator	120,247		118,112	11	7,307		118,292		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final		Provisiona	l
			Annual C	Objective and	Perfo	rmance Da	ata		
	2005	2006		2007		2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	8.2		8.2		8.2		8.2		8.
Annual Indicator	•								
Numerator	Please fill in only t	he Objecti	ves for the a	above years. N	lumera	tor, Denon	ninator and	Annual Indica	ators
	not required for ful	ure year o	lata.						
Denominator									
OUTCOME MEASURE # 02									
The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality	rate.								
			Annual C	Objective and	Perfo	rmance Da	ata .		
	2000	2001		2002		2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	2		2		2		1.9		1.
Annual Indicator	2.5		2.6		2.5		2.6		2.
Numerator	15.6		15.8		14.9		15.6		
Denominator			6.1		5.9		5.9		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final		Provisiona	I
			Annual C	Objective and	Perfo	rmance Da	ata		
	2005	2006		2007		2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	1.9		1.9		1.9		1.9		1.9
Annual Indicator	Please fill in only t	he Ohiecti	ves for the s	ahove veare N	lumera	tor Denon	ninator and	Annual Indic	atore :
Numerator				above years. I	ullicia	וטו, טפווטוו	illiaiui allu	Annual multi	aiuis
Denominator	not required for ful	ure year c	lata.						

OUTCOME MEASURE # 03 The neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.					
The Housilater Horacing Fato por 1,000 mg 2 miles		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	6	6	6	5.9	5.9
Annual Indicator	6.2	6.0	5.6	5.7	5.7
Numerator	746	705	659	669	
Denominator	120,247	118,112	117,307	118,292	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	5.9	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.
Numerator Denominator	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
UTCOME MEASURE # 04	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
UTCOME MEASURE # 04	not required for futi	ure year data.	above years. Numera		Annual Indicators
UTCOME MEASURE # 04 e postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	not required for futu	ure year data.  Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 04 e postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective	2000 2.7	Annual 2001 2.6	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 04 e postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective	not required for futu	Annual 2001 2.6	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.6	mance Data 2003	2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 04  De postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000 2.7	Annual 2001 2.6	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.6 2.5	mance Data 2003 2.6	2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 2.7 2.4	Annual 2001 2.6 2.6 300	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.6 2.5 298	2003 2.5	2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 2.7 2.4 288 120,247	Annual 2001 2.6 2.6 300	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.6 2.5 298	2003 2.6 2.5 298	2004
PUTCOME MEASURE # 04 ne postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 2.7 2.4 288 120,247	Annual 2001  2.6  2.6  300  117,407	Objective and Perfor 2002  2.6  2.5  298  117,307	2.6 2.5 298 118,292	2004
utcome Measure # 04  e postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 2.7 2.4 288 120,247	Annual 2001  2.6  2.6  300  117,407	Objective and Perfor 2002 2.6 2.5 298	2.6 2.5 298 118,292	2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 04 ne postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 2.7 2.4 288 120,247	Annual 2001 2.6 2.6 300 117,407 Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor 2002  2.6  2.5  298  117,307  Objective and Perfor 2007	2.6 2.5 298 118,292 Final	2004 2. 2. Provisional
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 he postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2.7 2.4 288 120,247 2005	Annual 2001 2.6 2.6 300 117,407 Annual 2006 2.5	Objective and Perfor 2002  2.6  2.5  298  117,307  Objective and Perfor 2007  2.5	2003  2.6  2.5  298  118,292  Final  mance Data 2008  2.5	2004 2. 2. Provisional 2009
DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 the postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 2.7 2.4 288 120,247 2005	Annual 2001 2.6 2.6 300 117,407  Annual 2006 2.5	Objective and Perfor 2002  2.6  2.5  298  117,307  Objective and Perfor 2007	2003  2.6  2.5  298  118,292  Final  mance Data 2008  2.5	2004 2. 2. Provisional 2009

inatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.	
Annual Objective and Performance Data	
2000 2001 2002 2003 2006	
Annual Performance Objective 13 8.4 8.3 8	
Annual Indicator 8.9 8.4 8.1 7.8	7.
<b>Numerator</b> 1,069 990 949 926	
Denominator         120,716         118,539         117,709         118,685	
Is the Data Provisional or Final? Final Prov	ovisional
Annual Objective and Performance Data	
2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	09
Annual Performance Objective 8 7.9 7.8 7.8	7.
Annual Indicator	
Diagon fill in only the Chicatives for the chave years Nymerator Denominator and Annua	al Indicators
Numerator  Numerator  Numerator  Not required for future year data.  Denominator	
DME MEASURE # 06 Id death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Objective and Performance Data	
ld death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Objective and Performance Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	
Annual Performance Objective   26   23.5	2
Annual Performance Objective   26   23.5   23.5   23.5   21.7   22.7   21.7	
Annual Performance Objective   26   23.5   23.5   23.5   23.5   24.7   22.7   21.7   Numerator   368   340   362   348   340   362   348   340   362   348   340   362   348   340   362   348   340   362   348   340   362   348   340   362   348   340   362   348   340   362   348   340   362   348   340   362   348   340   362   348   340   362   348   340   362   348   340   362   368	2
Annual Performance Objective   26   23.5   23.5   23.5   23.5   23.5   24.7   22.7   21.7	21.
Annual Performance Objective   26   23.5   23.5   23.5   23.5   23.5   24.7   22.7   21.7	2
Annual Performance Objective   26   23.5   23.5   23.5   23.5   23.5   24.7   22.7   21.7	21.
Annual Performance Objective   26   23.5   23.5   23.5   23.5   23.5     Annual Indicator   23.7   21.7   22.7   21.7     Numerator   368   340   362   348     Denominator   1,553,848   1,566,482   1,593,743   1,604,799     Is the Data Provisional or Final?   Annual Objective and Performance Data     2005   2006   2007   2008   2009	21.  21.  ovisional
Annual Objective and Performance Data   2000   2001   2002   2003   2004   2005   2005   2006   2007   2008   2008   2009   20	21. 21. ovisional
Annual Performance Objective   26   23.5   23.5   23.5   23.5   23.5     Annual Indicator   23.7   21.7   22.7   21.7     Numerator   368   340   362   348     Denominator   1,553,848   1,566,482   1,593,743   1,604,799     Is the Data Provisional or Final?   Annual Objective and Performance Data     2005   2006   2007   2008   2009	21 21  ovisional  ovisional

		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	16.5	16.5	16.5	16	16
Annual Indicator	15.8	16.9	16.9	16.9	16.9
Numerator	. 19	20			
Denominator	120,247	118,112			
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	,			Provisional	Provisional
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
	16	15.8	15.7	15.5	15.4

## FORM NOTES FOR FORM 12

None

## FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This figure is CY03 data repeated as an estimate. CY04 data will not be available until fall of 2005.

2. Section Number: Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This is CY03 data repeated as an estimate for CY04. CY04 data will not be available until fall 2005.

3. Section Number: Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This figure is CY03 data repeated as an estimate. CY04 data will not be available until fall of 2005.

4. Section Number: Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This figure is CY03 data repeated as an estimate. CY04 data will not be available until fall of 2005.

5. Section Number: Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This figure is CY03 data repeated as an estimate. CY04 data will not be available until fall of 2005.

6. Section Number: Outcome Measure 6

Field Name: OM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This figure is CY03 data repeated as an estimate. CY04 data will not be available until fall of 2005.

7. Section Number: State Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: SO1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

This is an estimate copying 2001 data as 2002 data are not yet available.

8. Section Number: State Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: SO1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

This is an estimate copying 2001 data as 2002 data are not yet available.

9. Section Number: State Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: SO1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This is an estimate copying 2001 data as 2002 data are not yet available.

# CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: NC 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 3 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 3 3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 3 4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 2 5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 3 6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 2 Total Score: 16 Rating Key 0 = Not Met 1 = Partially Met 2 = Mostly Met 3 = Completely Met

## FORM NOTES FOR FORM 13

 $These \ ratings \ were \ selected \ by \ members \ of \ the \ Family \ Advisory \ Council \ during \ a \ meeting \ held \ May \ 12, \ 2005.$ 

## FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

## FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

STATE: NC FY: 2006

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase ,list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. Reduce infant mortality.
- 2. Improve the health of women of childbearing age.
- 3. Prevent child deaths.
- 4. Eliminate vaccine-preventable diseases.
- 5. Increase access to care for women, children, and families.
- 6. Increase the number of newborns screened for genetic and hearing disorders and prevent birth defects.
- 7. Improve the health of children with special needs.
- 8. Improve healthy behaviors in women and children and among families.
- 9. Promote healthy schools and students who are ready to learn.
- 10. Provide timely and comprehensive early intervention services for children with special developmental needs and their families.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 14
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

# FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: NC APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Description of Technical Assistance Requested (max 250 characters)	Reason(s) Why Assistance Is Needed (max 250 characters)	What State, Organization or Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known) (max 250 characters)
1.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Women's health nutrition and gestational diabetes. We are interested in assistance for a 1/2 day session for state and local public health staff on gestational diabetes and one day for an update in women's health nutrition hot topics.	Increase knowledge of staff in content area.	None suggested
2.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Analyze current practices and to offer consultation on implementing bests practices in our maternity clinics at local health departments	To measure effectiveness at pilot sites in regard to: patient flow, staff structure, job responsibilities, clinical layout and other key elements.	Health Metrics
3.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Methods to Increase Efficiency in Regional Children's Developmental Services Agencies (CDSAs)	CDSAs have undergone substantial change in the last year, including greatly increased responsibility to become local lead agency for early intervention. Efficient and effective business service practices need to be developed.	Health Metrics
4.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Technical assistance to reduce disparity and increase cultural competency	To aid in the development of effective strategies to reduce health disparities	National Center for Cultural Diversity
5.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
6.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
7.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
8.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
9.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
10.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the			

measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 15
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

## **FORM 16** STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: NC

SP#

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Number of children affected in substantiated reports of abuse and/or neglect as compared with previous years.

STATUS:

To ensure that families receive sufficient and appropriate support during pregnancy, at birth and during child-rearing years, resulting in reduced incidence of substantiated child abuse and/or neglect. GOAL

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator: Denominator:

Units: Text: Number

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

Data is provided by the North Carolina Central Registry's Reports of Child Abuse, Neglect and Dependency and the North **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Carolina Medical Examiner's Office. Because the sample of families receiving intensive home visiting or other interventions aimed at reduction of child abuse/neglect is small compared to the total target population, it is not expected

that there will be a significant reduction in the number of substantiated reports.

Substantiated reports of child abuse and neglect continue to increase, both nationally and in North Carolina. Research **SIGNIFICANCE** 

confirms that intensive home visiting can result in reduced incidence of child abuse and neglect, as well as improvement in other health outcomes for young children and their caretakers. The Division of Women's and Children's Health has joined with other State agencies and Prevent Child Abuse North Carolina, in planning and implementing the Statewide Home

Visiting Demonstration Projects, funded by a late-1997 appropriation of the NC General Assembly.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of children less than 6 with elevated blood levels (greater than or equal to 10 micrograms/dL) of lead.

STATUS:

Active

GOAL

To reduce both the incidence and severity of blood lead poisoning among children under 6 years of age.

**DEFINITION** 

Number of children 6 months to 6 years of age who, when initially screened for elevated blood levels during the calendar

year, had a screening test resulr greater than or equal to 10 micrograms/dL.

Denominator

Number of children 6 months to 6 years of age who were screened for elevated blood levels during the calendar year.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

Data Sources and Data Issues

Data for numbers of children screened come from those children whose blood levels are analyzed by the State Laboratory and from data reported to the Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program by other laboratories. Blood lead analysis is

provided free of charge for children under 6 years of age who are seen by private or public health care providers. Not all

private laboratories report screening data to the Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program.

Significance

There is abundant documentation of the deleterious effects of lead on the developing nervous, hematapoietic, endocrine, renal and reproductive systems. Younger children are especially at risk for blood lead poisoning secondary to ingestion of lead via hand-to-mouth activities. Children also absorb lead into their bloodstreams more readily than do adults. Screening

activities allow for early detection and treatment of elevated blood levels. These activities also afford health care providers opportunities to educate families on lead hazards, with the secondary benefit of preventing cases of lead poisoning. A benefit of enhanced screening is the recognition of communities at higher risk for lead poisoning. Targeted screening,

prevention, and abatement activities are implemented in these communities.

Page 61 of 104

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** Percentage of women who gained > 15 pounds during pregnancy.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To increase the percent of women who gain > 15 pounds during pregnancy.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:
The number of women who delivered during the calendar year and who gained > 15 pounds during pregnancy.

Denominator:

The number of women who delivered during the calendar year with a reported prenatal weight gain.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Birth certificates with a reported weight gain.

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

When maternal weight gain is within the range recommended by the Institute of Medicine (IOM), the incidence of small-forgestational age and/or lwo birthweight births is reduced. Recommended weight gain is inversely related to maternal prepregnancy weight status with a weight gain of at least 15 pounds recommended for obese women.

Percent of children 5-18 who are obese. Obesity is conservatively defined as a body mass index (BMI) greater than or equal **PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** 

to the 95th percentile for gender and age.

STATUS:

GOAL To enhance the physical and mental health of children and adolescents by reducing morbidity secondary to obesity.

**DEFINITION** 

Unduplicated number of children ages 5 through 18, seen in a publicly-funded health center, with a body mass index greater

than or equal to the 95th percentile for gender and age.

Denominator:

Unduplicated number of children ages 5 through 18, seen in a publicly-funded health center, who had both a height and

weight measured at the time of their visit.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** Data is compiled by the State Center for Health Statistics based on data submitted through the Health Services Information

System by local health departments, Development Evaluation Centers and School-Based/ School-Linked Health Centers. The data is biased toward low to moderate income children, and may not be representative of the population at large.

Obesity is the most prevalent nutritional disease of children and adolescents in the United States. Many of the cardiovascular consequences of adult obesity are preceded by abnormalities that begin in childhood. **SIGNIFICANCE** 

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** Percent of adolescents in public schools with access to services of a school-based or school-linked health care center.

STATUS: Active

To increase access to comprehensive health services, i.e., primary care, mental health, nutrition and other health promotion GOAL

services to youth ages 10-19.

**DEFINITION** Adolescents in public schools with access to services of a school-based or school-linked health care center.

Number of students enrolled in schools with school-based health centers.

Denominator:

Number of students enrolled in North Carolina public schools.

Units: 100 Text: 1

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

Division of Women's and Children's (DWCH) School Health Program data, including quarterly and annual reports from the **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** state and RWJ funded school health centers. NC Department of Public Instruction Educations Director---LEA enrollment

Many school-aged adolescents do not get the health care they need, because they: 1) live in an area with few health care

providers, 2) cannot take time off from school or because their parents cannot take time off from work, 3) lack money and/or transportation, and 4) do not know how to get to health care services. School-based health centers offer students access to health care by locating them where they spend most of their day.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of women who smoke during pregnancy.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce smoking among pregnant women.

**DEFINITION** 

**Numerator:** The number of birth certificates reporting that mother used tobacco during pregnancy.

Denominator:

The number of live births in the State in the calendar year.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** Vital records collected by the State.

SIGNIFICANCE Smoking rates among pregnant women in North Carolina are substantially higher than the HP2000 Goal.

The percent of women responding to the Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS) survey that they wanted **PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** 

to be pregnant later or not then or at any time in the future.

STATUS:

GOAL To decrease the percentage of women delivering a baby in a given year who report that their pregnancy was unintented

**DEFINITION** 

Number of women responding to the PRAMS survey that they wanted to be pregnant later or did not want to be pregnant

then or at any time in the future.

Denominator:

Number of women responding to the PRAMS survey

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** NC PRAMS survey of resident mothers delivering a live infant. Approximately 2000 women are surveyed each year.

Denominator and numerator dara are weighted by the CDC.

Unintended pregnancy is correlated with late or inadequate prenatal care, low birth weight, neonatal death, domestic **SIGNIFICANCE** 

violence, child abuse, and exposure of the fetus to harmful substances like tobacco, alcohol, and other drugs. It is associated with social and economic co-factors such as economic hardship, marital dissolution, failure to achieve educational goals, and spousal abuse.

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** Percent of women of childbearing age taking folic acid regularly.

STATUS: Active

GOAL Increase the proportion of pregnancies begun with an optimum folic acid level.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Number of women between the ages of 18 and 44 responding yes to the BRFSS Folic Acid Module questions "Are any of these [vitamin pills or supplements] a multivitamin?" and "Do any of the vitamin pills or supplements you take contain folic acid?"

Number of women between the ages of 18 and 44 responding to the BRFSS Folic Acid Module Questions.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

SIGNIFICANCE

North Carolina BRFSS Folic Acid Module

Neural Tube Defects (NTDs), including spina bifida, occur when the fetal neural tube fails to close fully, interrupting development of the central nervous system. Approximately 50 percent of pregnancies affected with NTDs may be prevented with adequate consumption of folic acid from 1 month begore conception through the first 3 months of pregnancy. In 1992, the US Public Health Service (PHS) recommended that all women of childbearing age consume 400 micrograms of folic

acid daily.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The ratio of school health nurses to the public school student population.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To decrease the ratio of school health nurses to the student population.

**DEFINITION**The ratio of school health nurses to the public school student population. Ratios are no longer accepted values, but the

number of students divided by the number of school health nurse FTEs gives the value for second number in the 1:XXX

ratio.

Numerator:

The number of public school students in NC in a given school year.

Denominator:

The number of school health nurse full-time equivalences (FTEs) in a given school year.

Units: 1 Text: Ratio

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

North Carolina Annual School Health Services Report for Public Schools

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

A licensed practical nurse or registered nurse is an essential component of a healthy school. Current models of school health services reflect an understanding that children's physical and mental health are linked to their abilities to succeed academically and socially in the school environment. School nurses assess student health and development, help families determine when medical services are needed, and serve as a professional link with physicians and community resources.

SO# 1

**OUTCOME MEASURE:** The maternal mortality ratio per 100,000 live births.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce the number of maternal deaths.

**DEFINITION** 

**Numerator:** The number of deaths to women while pregnant or within 42 days of termination of pregnancy.

Denominator: Number of live births.

Units: 100000 Text: Per 100000

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Vital records collected by the State. The number of maternal deaths includes direct and indirect obstetric deaths identified through enhanced surveillance of maternal mortality. The enhanced surveillance system involves computer matching of deaths to reproductive-age women to live birth/fetal death records (case finding), and medical review to establish

circumstances of death as related to pregnancy complications.

SIGNIFICANCE Review of maternal deaths contributes to our understanding of the risk factors of maternal deaths, as well as to the

evaluation and improvement of the quality of care.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 16
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

# FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: NC

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Annual Indicator	79.6	60.6	70.7	75.4	76.2		
Numerator	4,470	3,470	3,950	4,295	4,385		
Denominator	561,592	572,868	558,585	569,623	575,492		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional		

## **HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02**

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Annual Indicator	85.4	87.7	89.2	89.8	90.2		
Numerator	78,500	84,600	87,126	87,821	90,905		
Denominator	91,931	96,520	97,667	97,798	100,806		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final		

## **HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03**

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Annual Indicator	69.4	71.7	37.2	75.5	79.0		
Numerator	34	43	16	77	83		
Denominator	49	60	43	102	105		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final		

## **HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04**

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

	Annual Indicator Data						
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004		
Annual Indicator	88.8	88.5	88.3	87.8	87.5		
Numerator	101,054	106,019	103,969	102,683	103,236		
Denominator	113,755	119,826	117,727	116,907	117,935		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final		

			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ıta</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	20.8	24.4	32.2	34.6	35.7
Numerator	36,924	36,763	55,972	60,682	64,550
Denominator	177,525	150,420	173,895	175,393	180,858
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•			Final	Final
EALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08					
	g rehabilitative servi	ces from the State Ch	nildren with Special Ho	•	SHCN) Program.
	g rehabilitative servi	ces from the State Ch	•	•	SHCN) Program.
	2000		Annual Indicator Da	<u>ita</u>	
ne percent of State SSI beneficiaries less than 16 years old receiving	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u> 2003	2004
	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u> 2003	2004

### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 17

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data are for the prior CY, e.g., FY04 is really CY03 data.

2. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

In May 2003, data for FY99-01 were revised to state fiscal year data (July 1 - June 30) and these data were provided for FY02. Prior to FY99, calendar year data is reported.

3. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

In May 2003, data for FY99-01 were revised to state fiscal year data (July 1 - June 30) and these data were provided for FY02 & FY03. Prior to FY99, calendar year data is

reported.

I. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Prior to FY99, calendar year data are reported, but beginning with FY00, state fiscal year data (July-June) are reported.

Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002

Field Note:

Data are for prior Calendar Year, e.g., FY02 is really CY01 data. Data prior to CY00 are not available. There was a freeze on Health Choice (name of NC's SCHIP) enrollment January 2001 through October 2001, thus the total number of enrollees dropped from previous years. Also, the total number of enrollees is small to begin with because Health Choice only covers the infants between 185% of poverty up to 200% of poverty. Below 185%, infants are eligible for Medicaid.

6. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data are for prior Calendar Year, e.g., FY02 is really CY01 data. Data prior to CY00 are not available. There was a freeze on Health Choice (name of NC's SCHIP) enrollment January 2001 through October 2001, thus the total number of enrollees dropped from previous years. Also, the total number of enrollees is small to begin with because Health Choice only covers the infants between 185% of poverty up to 200% of poverty. Below 185%, infants are eligible for Medicaid.

7. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data for this indicator differ from HSCI#5d because this indicator includes women ages 15 through 44 only and HSCI#5d includes women ages 18 through 50.

8. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data for this indicator differ from HSCI#5d because this indicator includes women ages 15 through 44 only and HSCI#5d includes women ages 18 through 50.

9. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data are for previous CY (i.e., FY04 is really CY03).

10. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data for CY98 are not available.

11. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003

### Field Note:

Data from CY00 on have been revised to include just those children receiving Medicaid and not those under the state SCHIP (Health Choice) plan. Thus, the data for FY99 and FY00 should not be compared to the rest of the data. The FY data are actually for the prior CY.

### 12. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data from CY00 on have been revised to include just those children receiving Medicaid and not those under the state SCHIP (Health Choice) plan. Thus, the data for FY99 and FY00 should not be compared to the rest of the data. The FY data are actually for the prior CY.

### 13. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

New methodology initiated in FY02 application and all indicators have been revised. Since January 1, 1995, all SSI beneficiaries <16 years old have been eligible for

Medicaid in North Carolina.

 Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08 Field Name: HSC08

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

New methodology initiated in FY02 application and all indicators have been revised. Since January 1, 1995, all SSI beneficiaries <16 years old have been eligible for Medicaid in North Carolina

### 15. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

New methodology initiated in FY02 application and all indicators have been revised. Since January 1, 1995, all SSI beneficiaries <16 years old have been eligible for Medicaid in North Carolina.

# FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: NC

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity	V=15	2.2. 202	POPULATION				
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	YEAR	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL		
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2003	Matching data files	10.6	7.8	9		
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2002	Matching data files	9.6	6.5	8		
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2003	Matching data files	75.5	92.3	83.7		
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2003	Matching data files	83.9	90.2	87.5		

FORM 18
HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL)
STATE: NC

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	185_
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 5) (Age range 6 to 18) (Age range to )	2004	133 100
c) Pregnant Women	2004	185

### FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: NC

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's SCHIP programs for infants (0 to 1), children, SCHIP and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 18) (Age range to ) (Age range to )	2004	200
c) Pregnant Women		

### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 18

None

### **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP Field Name: SCHIP\_Women

**Field Name:** SCHIP\_Women **Row Name:** Pregnant Women

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The state SCHIP program, Health Choice, does not cover maternity care.

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: CareFirstTrimester

Row Name: Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data for this indicator differ from NPM#18 because this indicator is based on CY2003 data and NPM#18 2003 is really CY2002 data. This indicator matches the data listed

under FY2004 in NPM#18.

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: AdequateCare

Row Name: Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The data reported in HSCI#4 for a fiscal year is really the data for the prior calendar year. Thus, the data reported in Form 18 for CY03 matches FY04 data, not FY03 data.

### **FORM 19** HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: NC

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner?  (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	1	No
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	3	Yes
Annual birth defects surveillance system	3	Yes
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	3	Yes
41 4 11		

- 1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
  2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
  3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

### FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: NC

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	Yes
Other: Youth Tobacco Survey (CDC sponsored)	3	Yes

### HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	Yes
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	3	Yes
WIC Program Data	3	Yes
Other: Local Health Department Service Data (HSIS)	3	Yes

#### \*Where:

- 1 No
- 2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is <u>not</u> large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.
- 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

### Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 19
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

## FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: NC

8.8 9.0 9.0 9.0  10,572 10,579 10,550 10,647  120,247 118,112 117,307 118,292  Final Final  Annual Indicator Data 2002 2003 2004  7.2 7.1 7.2 7.2  8,332 8,121 8,181 8,271  116,505 114,171 113,422 114,392  Final Final	Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B  e percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.	10,108	10,572	9.0	9.0 10,550 117,307	9.0 10,647 118,292
120,247       118,112       117,307       118,292         Final       Final       Final         Annual Indicator Data         2002       2003       2004         7.2       7.1       7.2       7.2         8,332       8,121       8,181       8,271         116,505       114,171       113,422       114,392         Final       Final	Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B  e percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.				117,307	118,292
Final         Annual Indicator Data         2002       2003       2004         7.2       7.1       7.2       7.2         8,332       8,121       8,181       8,271         116,505       114,171       113,422       114,392         Final       Final	Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B  e percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.		120,247	118,112		
Annual Indicator Data           2002         2003         2004           7.2         7.1         7.2         7.2           8,332         8,121         8,181         8,271           116,505         114,171         113,422         114,392           Final         Final	Is the Data Provisional or Final?  EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B a percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.				Final	Final
2002     2003     2004       7.2     7.1     7.2     7.2       8,332     8,121     8,181     8,271       116,505     114,171     113,422     114,392       Final     Final	e percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.					
2002     2003     2004       7.2     7.1     7.2     7.2       8,332     8,121     8,181     8,271       116,505     114,171     113,422     114,392       Final     Final						
2002     2003     2004       7.2     7.1     7.2     7.2       8,332     8,121     8,181     8,271       116,505     114,171     113,422     114,392       Final     Final	21					
7.2         7.1         7.2         7.2           8,332         8,121         8,181         8,271           116,505         114,171         113,422         114,392           Final         Final	20	000	2001	·		2004
8,332     8,121     8,181     8,271       116,505     114,171     113,422     114,392       Final   Final	Annual Indicator	7.3				
116,505 114,171 113,422 114,392 Final Final	Numerator					
Final Final	Denominator	110,320				
	Is the Data Provisional or Final?	110,020	110,000	,		
Annual Indiana Data						
Annual Indicator Data						
	e percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.			Annual Indicator Da	-1-	
2002 2003 2004	20	000	2001			2004
1.9 1.9 1.9 1.8	Annual Indicator _	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.8
2,309 2,293 2,175 2,118	Numerator	2,186	2,309	2,293	2,175	2,118
	 Denominator	113,755	120,247	118,112	117,307	118,292
120,247 118,112 117,307 118,292	Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
<b>2002 2003</b> 1.9 1.9	Annual Indicator	1.9	1.9 2,309	1.9 2,293	2003 1.9 2,175	
	Denominator	113,755	120,247	118,112	117,307	118,292
120,247 118,112 117,307 118,292	Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Final

			Annual Indicator Da		
Annual Indicate	<b>2000</b> r 11.0	<b>2001</b> 10.6	<b>2002</b> 9.7	<b>2003</b> 9.9	<b>2004</b> 9.0
Annual Indicato	r 17.0		163	169	155
Denominato Is the Data Provisional or Final		1,671,411	1,686,165	1,706,584 Final	1,717,971 Final
is the Buta i Tovisional of Final	•			i iliai	Tillal
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03B le death rate per 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children	aged 14 years and	vounger due to motor	vehicle crashes		
e death rate per 100,000 for difficultional linguites among difficulties	agea 14 years and	younger due to motor	Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicato	r 4.9	5.9	5.6	5.3	4.
Numerato	r	99	94	90	78
Denominato	r 1,560,534	1,671,411	1,682,289	1,706,584	1,717,97
Is the Data Provisional or Final	?			Final	Final
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C					
e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor v	vehicle crashes am	ong youth aged 15 thro	ough 24 years.		
			Annual Indicator Da		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
		2.4.2			
Annual Indicato	r 34.7		33.1	32.9	
Numerato	r 34.7	350	380	32.9	409
Numerato	r 34.7 r 361 r 1,040,914	350	380		33.9 409 1,206,204 Final

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Provisional

Numerator   11,936   13,136   11,970   10,356   11,802     Denominator   1,560,914   1,671,411   1,682,289   1,706,584   1,717,974     Is the Data Provisional or Final?   Provisional   Provisional     IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C     The rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes among youth aged 15 through 24 years.     2000   2001   2002   2003   2004     Annual Indicator   4,078.0   3,682.3   3,470.8   2,969.3   3,148.6     Numerator   42,448   40,358   39,902   34,967   37,978     Denominator   1,040,914   1,096,005   1,149,654   1,177,623   1,206,204     Is the Data Provisional or Final?   Provisional     Provisional     EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A     The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.     Annual Indicator   31.1   30.4   30.0   31.6   36.1     Numerator   7,973   7,957   8,442   8,892   9,704     Numerator   7,973   7,957   8,442   8,892   9,704     Real Provisional	The rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among children age	ed 14 years and your	-	- 4 -	
Numerator   11,936   13,136   11,970   10,366   11,800   13,136   11,970   10,366   11,800   13,136   14,601		2000	2001			2004
Numerator   11,936   13,136   11,970   10,366   11,800   13,136   11,970   10,366   11,800   13,136   14,601						687.0
Denominator   1,560,914   1,671,411   1,682,289   1,706,584   1,717,971			13,136	11,970	10,356	11,802
REALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C   Provisional or Final?   Provisional   Provis						
Numerator   1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 yea		1,000,011	.,,	,,,,,,,,,		
Numerator   1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.   2,000 women aged 15 through 19 yea						
2000   2001   2002   2003   2004   2004   2002   2003   2004   2005	HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C					
Annual Indicator   4,078.0   3,682.3   3,470.8   2,969.3   3,148.6	ne rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among youth aged	15 through 24 years.		-4-	
Annual Indicator 4,078.0 3,682.3 3,470.8 2,969.3 3,148.6  Numerator 42,448 40,358 39,902 34,967 37,978  Denominator 1,040,914 1,096,005 1,149,654 1,177,623 1,206,204  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  REALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.  2000 2001 2002 2003 2004  Annual Indicator 31.1 30.4 30.0 31.6 36.1  Numerator 7,973 7,957 8,442 8,892 9,704  Denominator 256,666 261,510 281,361 281,361 268,638		2000	2001			2004
Numerator         42,448         40,358         39,902         34,967         37,978           Denominator         1,040,914         1,096,005         1,149,654         1,177,623         1,206,204           IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A           he rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.         Annual Indicator Data           2000         2001         2002         2003         2004           Annual Indicator         31.1         30.4         30.0         31.6         36.1           Numerator         7,973         7,957         8,442         8,892         9,704           Denominator         256,666         261,510         281,361         281,361         268,638						3,148.6
Denominator   1,040,914   1,096,005   1,149,654   1,177,623   1,206,204			40,358	39,902	34,967	37,978
Item   Provisional   Provisi					1 177 623	1 206 204
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A   Start   Status   Status		1,010,011	1,000,000	1,110,001		.,
he rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported case of chlamydia.  2000 2001 2002 2003 2004  Annual Indicator 31.1 30.4 30.0 31.6 36.1  Numerator 7,973 7,957 8,442 8,892 9,704  Denominator 256,666 261,510 281,361 281,361 281,361					Provisional	Provisional
Annual Indicator Data           2000         2001         2002         2003         2004           Annual Indicator         31.1         30.4         30.0         31.6         36.1           Numerator         7,973         7,957         8,442         8,892         9,704           Denominator         256,666         261,510         281,361         281,361         268,638					Provisional	Provisional
2000         2001         2002         2003         2004           Annual Indicator         31.1         30.4         30.0         31.6         36.1           Numerator         7,973         7,957         8,442         8,892         9,704           Denominator         256,666         261,510         281,361         281,361         281,361         268,638	HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A				Provisional	Provisional
Annual Indicator         31.1         30.4         30.0         31.6         36.1           Numerator         7,973         7,957         8,442         8,892         9,704           Denominator         256,666         261,510         281,361         281,361         268,638	HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A	ase of chlamydia.				Provisional
Numerator         7,973         7,957         8,442         8,892         9,704           Denominator         256,666         261,510         281,361         281,361         281,361         268,638	HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported c	·	2001		ata	
Denominator         256,666         261,510         281,361         281,361         268,638	HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported c	2000		2002	<u>ata</u> 2003	2004
	HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported c	<b>2000</b> 31.1	30.4	<b>2002</b> 30.0	ata 2003 31.6	2004
to the Bata i Tovicional of Final	HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A  The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported c  Annual Indicator  Numerator	<b>2000</b> 31.1 7,973	30.4 7,957	30.0 8,442	ata 2003 31.6 8,892	<b>2004</b> 36.1 9,704
	HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A  The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	<b>2000</b> 31.1 7,973	30.4 7,957	30.0 8,442	2003 31.6 8,892 281,361	2004 36.1 9,704 268,638
	HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A  The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	<b>2000</b> 31.1 7,973	30.4 7,957	30.0 8,442	2003 31.6 8,892 281,361	2004 36.1 9,704 268,638
he rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported case of chlamydia.	HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A  The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 31.1 7,973 256,666	30.4 7,957	30.0 8,442	2003 31.6 8,892 281,361	2004 36.1 9,704 268,638
Annual Indicator Data	HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A  The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B  The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of	2000 31.1 7,973 256,666 ase of chlamydia.	30.4 7,957 261,510	30.0 8,442 281,361 Annual Indicator Da	2003 31.6 8,892 281,361 Provisional	2004 36.1 9,704 268,638 Provisional
Annual Indicator Data  2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A  The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B  The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of	2000 31.1 7,973 256,666 ase of chlamydia.	30.4 7,957 261,510	30.0 8,442 281,361 Annual Indicator Da 2002	2003  31.6  8,892  281,361  Provisional	2004 36.1 9,702 268,638 Provisional
Annual Indicator Data 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004  Annual Indicator 6.4 6.8 7.1 7.7 8.9	HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator  Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of Annual Indicator	2000 31.1 7,973 256,666 ase of chlamydia. 2000 6.4	30.4 7,957 261,510 2001 6.8	30.0 8,442 281,361 Annual Indicator Da 2002	2003 31.6 8,892 281,361 Provisional	2004 36.1 9,704 268,638 Provisional
Annual Indicator Data  2000 2001 2002 2003 2004  Annual Indicator 6.4 6.8 7.1 7.7 8.9	HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of Numerator	2000  31.1  7,973  256,666  ase of chlamydia.  2000  6.4  9,158	30.4 7,957 261,510 2001 6.8 10,213	30.0 8,442 281,361 Annual Indicator Da 2002 7.1 11,236	2003 31.6 8,892 281,361 Provisional	2004 36.1 9,704 268,638 Provisional

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Provisional

### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 20

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01B

Field Name: HSI01B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

In FY06 report, the data for 2002 and 2003 (actually CY01 and 02) were revised. The previously reported denominator was for all live births, not just singleton births, but this has now been corrected. Changed April 2005.

2. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02B

Field Name: HSI02B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

In FY06 report, the data for 2002 and 2003 (actually CY01 and 02) were revised. The previously reported denominator was for all live births, not just singleton births, but this has now been corrected. Changed April 2005.

3. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Numerator data from Eric Rodgman at UNC Highway Safety Research Center. Denominators from SCHS (same as those used in HSI#03B).

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Numerator is from the UNC Highway Safety Research Center (Eric Rodgman). CY03 data are provisional as DOT hasn't closed the file for 2004 as of 5/05. Denominator is a population estimate from the State Center for Health Statistics.

5. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Numerator data from Eric Rodgman at UNC Highway Safety Research Center. Denominators from SCHS (same as those used in HSI#03C).

6. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Numerator is from the UNC Highway Safety Research Center (Eric Rodgman). CY03 data are provisional as DOT hasn't closed the file for 2004 as of 5/05. Denominator is a population estimate from the State Center for Health Statistics.

7. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05A

Field Name: HSI05A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Rate denominators were calculated using the latest available bridged race population estimates from the National Center for Health Statistics. Because bridged race population estimates were unavailable for 2003, 2002 estimates were used as denominators in rate calculation for 2003. Thus, the 2003 rates should be considered preliminary.

8. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05A

Field Name: HSI05A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Rate denominators were calculated using the latest available bridged race population estimates from the National Center for Health Statistics. Because bridged race population estimates were unavailable for 2004, 2003 estimates were used as denominators in rate calculation for 2004. Thus, the 2004 rates should be considered preliminary.

9. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05B

Field Name: HSI05B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Rate denominators were calculated using the latest available bridged race population estimates from the National Center for Health Statistics. Because bridged race population estimates were unavailable for 2004, 2003 estimates were used as denominators in rate calculation for 2004. Thus, the 2004 rates should be considered preliminary.

### FORM 21 **HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA**

STATE: NC

HSI #06A - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and race. (Demographics) 

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	118,339	82,451	28,993	1,648	2,180	59	3,008	0
Children 1 through 4	471,760	327,552	116,181	6,829	9,191	277	11,730	0
Children 5 through 9	563,836	386,204	146,048	8,707	10,871	421	11,585	0
Children 10 through 14	597,655	402,480	166,148	9,252	10,373	410	8,992	0
Children 15 through 19	554,623	380,987	148,138	8,347	9,902	432	6,817	0
Children 20 through 24	605,463	427,172	148,395	9,443	12,667	828	6,958	0
Children 0 through 24	2,911,676	2,006,846	753,903	44,226	55,184	2,427	49,090	0

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	104,154	14,185	0
Children 1 through 4	422,352	49,408	0
Children 5 through 9	520,372	43,464	0
Children 10 through 14	564,372	33,283	0
Children 15 through 19	524,180	30,443	0
Children 20 through 24	543,146	62,317	0
Children 0 through 24	2,678,576	233,100	0

### FORM 21 **HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA**

STATE: NC

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	259	104	150	2	3	0	0	0
Women 15 through 17	4,377	2,428	1,793	98	41	1	0	16
Women 18 through 19	8,794	5,521	2,952	193	107	2	0	19
Women 20 through 34	90,694	67,128	19,719	1,236	2,458	12	0	141
Women 35 or older	14,166	11,078	2,491	107	475	1	0	14
Women of all ages	118,290	86,259	27,105	1,636	3,084	16	0	190

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Women < 15	208	51	0
Women 15 through 17	3,612	762	3
Women 18 through 19	7,306	1,481	7
Women 20 through 34	77,952	12,661	81
Women 35 or older	13,032	1,122	12
Women of all ages	102,110	16,077	103

## FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: NC

HSI #08A - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and race. (Demographics)

For both parts A and B: Reporting Year: 2004	Is this data from a State Projection? No	Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	967	513	424	13	8	0	0	9
Children 1 through 4	144	97	43	3	1	0	0	0
Children 5 through 9	85	59	20	3	3	0	0	0
Children 10 through 14	119	67	46	4	1	0	0	1
Children 15 through 19	439	328	101	5	4	0	0	1
Children 20 through 24	623	410	179	15	9	0	0	10
Children 0 through 24	2,377	1,474	813	43	26	0	0	21

### HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	861	103	3
Children 1 through 4	133	10	1
Children 5 through 9	78	7	0
Children 10 through 14	115	4	0
Children 15 through 19	408	31	0
Children 20 through 24	535	87	1
Children 0 through 24	2,130	242	5

## FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: NC

**HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data)** Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	2,306,213	1,579,674.0	605,508.0	34,783.0	42,517.0	1,599.0	42,132.0	0	2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	22.9	15.1	41.0	28.9	8.4	20.3	33.7		2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	6.9	2.8	15.7	8.8	2.0				2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	632,585	243,659.0	269,263.0	11,745.0	6,112.0	102.0		101,704.0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	118,356	59,194.0	37,552.0	2,066.0	1,798.0			17,746.0	2004
Number living in foster home care	14,841	7,618.0	6,443.0	280.0	50.0	80.0	305.0	65.0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	503,818	173,260.0	264,027.0	9,910.0	3,156.0			53,465.0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC	161,427	91,462.0	57,711.0	2,611.0	4,606.0	1,951.0		3,086.0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	254.5	169.3	504.7	309.5	84.2				2004
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)	11.0								2004

**HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data)** Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	2,135,430.0	170,783.0		2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	17.9	10.9		2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	5.5	4.4		2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	604,907.0	27,678.0		2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	106,505.0	11,850.0		2004
Number living in foster home care	13,742.0	1,099.0		2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	473,425.0	30,393.0		2004
Number enrolled in WIC	149,473.0	11,954.0		2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	49,192.0	3,934.0		2004
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)	11.0	11.0		2004

### **FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS** DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

STATE: NC

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	TOTAL	
Living in metropolitan areas	2,000,924	
Living in urban areas	1,337,456	
Living in rural areas	855,904	
Living in frontier areas	0	
Total - all children 0 through 19	2,193,360	

The Total will be determined by adding reported numbers for urban, rural and frontier areas.

### **FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA** STATE: NC

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Total Population	8,223,000.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	
100% of poverty	15.7
200% of poverty	37.2

### FORM 21 **HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA** STATE: NC

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics) 

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Children 0 through 19 years old	2,052,000.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	
100% of poverty	23.1
200% of poverty	47.2

### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 21

Data source is Summary File 1 of US Census 2000, so data are not from 2004.

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

 Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace\_Children Row Name: All children 0 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006

Field Note:

Based on 2003 population estimates from the NC State Data Center.

2. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace\_SingleParentPercent

Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Based on 2000 US Census. Data are not available for the Other and Unknown category.

Section Number: Indicator 09A
 Field Name: HSIRace\_TANFPercent
 Row Name: Percent in TANF (Grant) families

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

State Fiscal Year 2003 data (July-June) from Department of Social Services.

Hispanic included in Other and Unknown as race not provided for them. Asian and Pacific Islander are grouped together.

Data are not available for the Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, >one race reported, and Other and Unknown categories.

Section Number: Indicator 09A
 Field Name: HSIRace\_MedicaidNo
 Row Name: Number enrolled in Medicaid

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data are as of December 2004.

Data are for ages 0 to 18 only and don't include 19 year olds.

Data are not available for the >one race reported category.

Section Number: Indicator 09A
Field Name: HSIRace\_SCHIPNo
Row Name: Number enrolled in SCHIP

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: Data are for FFY04.

Average monthly enrollment for FFY04.

Ethnicity and race combined, so Hispanic put in other/unknown.

Data are not available for the Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander or >one race reported categories.

6. Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace\_FoodStampNo

Row Name: Number enrolled in food stamp program

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data are for State Fiscal Year 2003 (July-June). Data are not available for Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander and >one race reported categories.

Section Number: Indicator 09A
 Field Name: HSIRace\_WICNo
 Row Name: Number enrolled in WIC

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data are for State Fiscal Year 2004 (July-June). Data are not available for the ?one race reported category.

8. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace\_JuvenileCrimeRate

Row Name: Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data are for CY03. Data are not available for the Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, >one race reported, and Other and Unknown categories.

9. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace\_DropOutPercent

Row Name: Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

This percentage comes from KIDS COUNT 2004. It is the percentage of teenagers between ages 16 and 19 who are not enrolled in school and are not high school graduates. Those who have a GED or equivalent are included as high school graduates in this measure. It is based on analysis of the 12-month CPS file maintained by the US Bureau of Labor Statistics. This figure is based on a 3-year average for 2000 to 2002. A racial breakdown is not available.

 Section Number: Indicator 09B Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_Children Row Name: All children 0 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Based on 2003 population estimates from the NC State Data Center.

11. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_SingleParentPercent

Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Based on 2000 US Census. Data are not available for the Ethnicity Not Reported category.

Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_TANFPercent
Row Name: Percent in TANF (Grant) families

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

State Fiscal Year data (July-June) from Department of Social Services. Data are not available for the Ethnicity Not Reported category.

Section Number: Indicator 09B Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_MedicaidNo Row Name: Number enrolled in Medicaid

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data are as of December 2004.

Data are for ages 0 to 18 only and don't include 19 year olds.

Data are not available for the Ethnicity Not Reported category.

14. Section Number: Indicator 09B Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_SCHIPNo Row Name: Number enrolled in SCHIP

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note: Data are for FFY04.

Average monthly enrollment for FFY04.

Data are not available for the Ethnicity Not Reported category.

15. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_FoodStampNo

Row Name: Number enrolled in food stamp program

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data are for State Fiscal Year 2004 (July-June).

Data are not available for Ethnicity Not Reported category.

16. Section Number: Indicator 09B Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_WICNo

Row Name: Number enrolled in WIC Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

17. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity JuvenileCrimeRate

Row Name: Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

These data are not available. This is an estimate, taking the percentage of foster children of all races in 9A and applying that percentage to the total population in 9b.

These data are not available. This is an estimate, taking the percentage of foster children of all races in 9A and applying that percentage to the total population in 9b.

18. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_DropOutPercent Row Name: Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

These are estimates just repeating the percentage given in 9a for this measure, although the same percentage would not hold true across racial and ethnic groups.

19. Section Number: Indicator 10 Field Name: Metropolitan

Row Name: Living in metropolitan areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data source is Summary File 1 of US Census 2000. Per Bob Coats at NC State Data Center, there is a difference between the state MSA total and the total of the MSAs in the state due to some MSAs crossing state lines. The total population for an MSA may contain populations from neighboring states since MSAs may cross state lines.

Section Number: Indicator 10

Field Name: Urban

Row Name: Living in urban areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data source is Summary File 1 of US Census 2000. Per Bob Coats at NC State Data Center, there is a difference between the state urban total and the total of the urban areas in the state due to some urban areas crossing state lines. These data include the population of all urban areas completely or partially in the state.

21. Section Number: Indicator 10

Field Name: Rural

Row Name: Living in rural areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data source is Summary File 1 of US Census 2000, so data are not from 2004.

22. Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11\_total Row Name: Total Population

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: US Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2004 Annual Social and Economic Supplement

23. Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11 50percent

Field Name: S11\_50percent Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

This is fa complete estimate for the entire state population based on a percentage found for children under age 18.

Source: Annie E. Casey Foundation website, Key Facts Profile - information gathered from the Population Reference Bureau, analysis of data from the US Census Bureau.

24. Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11\_100percent Row Name: 100% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: US Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2004 Annual Social and Economic Supplement

25. Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11\_200percent Row Name: 200% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: US Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2004 Annual Social and Economic Supplement

26. Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12 Children

Row Name: Children 0 through 19 years old

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: US Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2004 Annual Social and Economic Supplement.

Data are only for children <18, not through age 19.

Section Number: Indicator 12
 Field Name: S12\_50percent

Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

This is for children under age 18.

Source: Annie E. Casey Foundation website, Key Facts Profile - information gathered from the Population Reference Bureau, analysis of data from the US Census Bureau.

28. Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12\_100percent Row Name: 100% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: US Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2004 Annual Social and Economic Supplement.

Data are only for children <18, not through age 19.

29. Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12\_200percent Row Name: 200% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: US Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2004 Annual Social and Economic Supplement.

Data are only for children <18, not through age 19.

**30. Section Number:** Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace\_FosterCare

Row Name: Number living in foster home care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

State Fiscal Year 2003 data (July-June) from Department of Social Services.

31. Section Number: Indicator 09B
Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_FosterCare
Row Name: Number living in foster home care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

These data are not available. This is an estimate, taking the percentage of foster children of all races in 9A and applying that percentage to the total population in 9b.

### NEW STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: NC

SP# \_\_\_\_1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Number of children affected in substantiated reports of abuse and/or neglect as compared with previous years.

GOAL To ensure that families receive sufficient and appropriate support during pregnancy, at birth and during child-rearing years,

resulting in reduced incidence of substantiated child abuse and/or neglect.

**DEFINITION** 

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

**OBJECTIVE** 

Numerator:

Number of children affected in substantiated reports of abuse and/or neglect in the past fiscal year.

Denominator:

N/A

Units: Text: 0

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 15-33. Reduce maltreatment and maltreatment fatalities of children.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Data are provided by the North Carolina Child Protective Services Central Registry's Reports of Child Abuse, Neglect and

Dependency.

Substantiated reports of child abuse and neglect continue to increase, both nationally and in North Carolina.

 2006
 2007
 2008
 2009
 2010

 27000
 26500
 26500
 26000
 26000

Page 93 of 104

2

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

The number of children in the State less than three years old enrolled in early intervention services to reduce the effects of

developmental delay, emotional disturbance, or chronic illness.

GOAL

For the WCHS to show improvement at both identifying infants and toddlers needing early intervention services and appropriately enrolling these children at the earliest possible age to reduce the effects of disabling conditions.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

The number of children in NC less than three years old receiving NC Infant-Toddler Program (Part C of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act [IDEA] (reauthorized 2004) services during the state fiscal year (7/1 - 6/30).

Denominator:

N/A

Units: Text: 0

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

16-20c

Ensure that infants with diagnosed disorders are enrolled in appropriate service interventions within an appropriate time

period.

16-23

Increase the proportion of Territories and States that have service systems for children with special health care needs.

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

SIGNIFICANCE

NC Infant-Toddler Program - Comprehensive Exceptional Children Accountability System (CECAS)

This measure indicates the extent to which effects of developmental delay, emotional disturbance, or chronic illness can be

reduced by early intervention services.

2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 11000 11330 11670 12000 12400

Percent of children 2-18 who are overweight. Overweight is defined as a body mass index (BMI) greater than or equal to the **PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** 

95th percentile for gender and age.

GOAL To enhance the physical and mental health of children and adolescents by reducing morbidity secondary to obesity.

**DEFINITION** 

Unduplicated number of children ages 2 through 18, seen in a publicly-funded health center, with a body mass index greater

than or equal to the 95th percentile for gender and age.

**Denominator:**Unduplicated number of children ages 2 through 18, seen in a publicly-funded health center, who had both a height and

weight measured at the time of their visit.

Units: Text: 0

19-3. Reduce the proportion of children and adolescents who are overweight or obese to 5%. **HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

NC Nutrition and Physical Activity Surveillance System (NC-NPASS) which is data from children who participate in Child **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Health, School Based/School Linked Health Centers, and the WIC Program.

Obesity is the most prevalent nutritional disease of children and adolescents in the United States. Many of the **SIGNIFICANCE** 

cardiovascular consequences of adult obesity are preceded by abnormalities that begin in childhood.

2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
15	14	13	12	10

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of women who smoke during pregnancy.

GOAL To reduce smoking among pregnant women.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

The number of birth certificates reporting that mother used tobacco during pregnancy.

**Denominator:** The number of live births in the State in the calendar year.

Units: Text: 0

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

16-17 Increase abstinence from alcohol, cigarettes, and illicit drugs among pregnant women.

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

SIGNIFICANCE

**OBJECTIVE** 

Vital records collected by the State.

Smoking rates among pregnant women in North Carolina are substantially higher than the HP2010 Goal.

2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
12	12	11	10	10

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of women responding to the Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS) survey that they wanted

to be pregnant later or not then or at any time in the future.

\_

GOAL

To decrease the percentage of women delivering a baby in a given year who report that their pregnancy was unintended.

DEFINITION

Numerator:

Number of women responding to the PRAMS survey that they wanted to be pregnant later or did not want to be pregnant

then or at any time in the future.

Denominator:

Number of women responding to the PRAMS survey

Units: Text: 0

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

9-1 Increase the proportion of pregnancies that are intended.

9-3

Increase the proportion of females at risk of unintended pregnancy (and their partners) who use contraception.

NC PRAMS survey of resident mothers delivering a live infant. Approximately 2000 women are surveyed each year.

Denominator and numerator data are weighted by the CDC.

SIGNIFICANCE

Unintended pregnancy is correlated with late or inadequate prenatal care, low birth weight, neonatal death, domestic violence, child abuse, and exposure of the fetus to harmful substances like tobacco, alcohol, and other drugs. It is associated with social and economic co-factors such as economic hardship, marital dissolution, failure to achieve

educational goals, and spousal abuse.

	$\overline{}$			_
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
39	39	38	38	37

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of women of childbearing age taking folic acid regularly.

GOAL Increase the proportion of pregnancies begun with an optimum folic acid level.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Number of women between the ages of 18 and 44 responding yes to the BRFSS Folic Acid Module questions "Are any of these [vitamin pills or supplements] a multivitamin?" and "Do any of the vitamin pills or supplements you take contain folic

acid?

Denominator:

Number of women between the ages of 18 and 44 responding to the BRFSS Folic Acid Module Questions.

Units: Text: 0

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

16-16. Increase the proportion of pregnancies begun with an optimum folic acid level.

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

North Carolina BRFSS Folic Acid Module. This module is not included every year in the BRFSS, thus data are available on

a periodic basis, not annually.

SIGNIFICANCE

Neural Tube Defects (NTDs), including spina bifida, occur when the fetal neural tube fails to close fully, interrupting development of the central nervous system. Approximately 50 percent of pregnancies affected with NTDs may be prevented with adequate consumption of folic acid from 1 month before conception through the first 3 months of pregnancy. In 1992, the US Public Health Service (PHS) recommended that all women of childbearing age consume 400 micrograms of folic

acid daily.

2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
50	50	50	50	50

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The ratio of school health nurses to the public school student population.

GOAL To decrease the ratio of school health nurses to the student population.

**DEFINITION**The ratio of school health nurses to the public school student population. Ratios are no longer accepted values, but the number of students divided by the number of school health nurse FTEs gives the value for second number in the 1:XXX

ratio

Numerator:

The number of public school students in NC in a given school year.

Denominator:

The number of school health nurse full-time equivalences (FTEs) in a given school year.

Units: 1 Text: Ratio

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 7

Increase the proportion of the Nation's elementary, middle, junior high, and senior high schools that have a nurse to student

ratio of at least 1:750.

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

SIGNIFICANCE

North Carolina Annual School Health Services Report for Public Schools

A licensed practical nurse or registered nurse is an essential component of a healthy school. Current models of school health services reflect an understanding that children's physical and mental health are linked to their abilities to succeed academically and socially in the school environment. School nurses assess student health and development, help families determine when medical services are needed, and serve as a professional link with physicians and community resources.

2006 2007	2008	2009	2010
1300 1200	1200	1150	1100

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

**GOAL** 

Percent of women with live, term births who gain within the Institute of Medicine (IOM) Recommended Weight Gain Ranges. Increase the percent of women with live, term births who gain within the Institute of Medicine (IOM) Recommended Weight

Gain Ranges.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Number of women in NC Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance System (NCPNSS) with adequate weight gain.

Denominator:

All women with full term births in NCPNSS for which pregravid height and weight and pregnancy weight gain is known.

Units: Text: 0

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

16-12 (Developmental)

Increase the proportion of mothers who achieve a recommended weight gain during pregnancy.

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

NC Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance System. These are data from women who participate in the WIC Program linked with birth certificate records. In birth certificate records, data issues include that weight gain is not reported in all records and roughly 30% of records are missing pregravid height and weight. In some cases, an estimation in gestational age has been made. Since a weight minimum instead of range is recommended for women with BMI >29.0, adequacy has been defined as 15-25 pounds (i.e the same as for overweight women). This has been done in order to not create an artificially high

number of "excessive weight gain" as a result of a woman not having a gain exactly 15 pounds.

Weight gains outside of IOM ranges are associated with two times as many poor outcomes as gains within recommended ranges. Excessive weight gain currently accounts for roughly two thirds of these inappropriate gains nationally. Excessive weight gain is strongly associated with postpartum weight retention at one year and subsequent overweight and obesity in women. Pregravid overweight is associated with a substantial increased risk of macrosomia, congenital abnormalities (including neural tube defects), dysfunctional labor and complications in operative deliveries, gestational diabetes, hypertension, pre-eclampsia. Although somewhat controversial, increased preterm delivery and perinatal morbidity have

also been associated with pregravid overweight.

**OBJECTIVE** 

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
34	35	36	37	38

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of non-pregnant women of reproductive age who are overweight/obese (BMI>26).

To decrease the percent of non-pregnant women of reproductive age in NC who are overweight/obese (BMI>26) GOAL

40

42

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Number of women in NC Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance System (NCPNSS)with prepregnancy BMI>26.

Denominator:

44 43

45

All women with full term births in NCPNSS 2002 for which pregravid height and weight is known.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

19-1. Increase the proportion of adults who are at a healthy weight to 60 percent.

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

NC Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance System which is primarily data from women who participate in the WIC Program linked Maintenance of a healthy weight is a major goal in the effort to reduce the burden of illness and its consequent reduction in

with birth certificate records. Roughly 30% of total records are missing pregravid height and weight.

quality of life and life expectancy. The selection of a BMI cut-point to establish the upper limit of the healthy weight range is based on the relationship of overweight or obesity to risk factors for chronic disease or premature death. A BMI of less than 25 has been accepted by numerous groups as the upper limit of the healthy weight range, since chronic disease risk increases in most populations at or above this cut-point. Women who are overweight at conception have increased obstetric risks including diabetes mellitus, hypertension, preterm births, macrosomia, and dysfunctional labor. Recent evidence suggests that women who enter pregnancy obese significantly increase their child's risk of overweight at 2-4 years of age.

**OBJECTIVE** 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** Percent of infants still breastfeeding at 6 months of age.

To increase the percent of infants still breastfeeding at 6 months of age in NC. GOAL

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Infants enrolled in WIC during the past fiscal year who are breastfeeding at 6 months of age

All infants enrolled in WIC during the past fiscal year.

Units: 100 Text: 1

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

16-19. Increase the percent of mothers who breastfeed their babies at 6 months postpartum to 50%

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

NC Pregnancy Nutrition Surveillance System which is primarily data from women who participate in the WIC Program linked

with birth certificate records.

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

Breast milk is widely acknowledged to be the most complete form of nutrition for infants, with a range of benefits for infants' health, growth, immunity, and development throughout the first year of life. The benefits of breastfeeding include decreased new cases or severity of diarrhea, respiratory infections, and ear infections among others, reduced cost to the family, and more recently, a possible reduced risk of overweight. In addition, breastfeeding has been shown to improve maternal health, with demonstrated effects, including reduction in postpartum bleeding, earlier return to prepregnancy weight, reduced risk of premenopausal breast cancer, and reduced risk of osteoporosis, continuing long after the postpartum period.

2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
15	18	20	22	25

SO # 1

OUTCOME MEASURE: The maternal mortality ratio per 100,000 live births.

**GOAL** To reduce the number of maternal deaths.

**DEFINITION** 

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

Numerator:

The number of deaths to women while pregnant or within 42 days of termination of pregnancy.

Denominator:

Number of live births.

Units: 100000 Text: Per 100000

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Vital records collected by the State. The number of maternal deaths includes direct and indirect obstetric deaths identified

through enhanced surveillance of maternal mortality. The enhanced surveillance system involves computer matching of

deaths to reproductive-age women to live birth/fetal death records (case finding), and medical review to establish

circumstances of death as related to pregnancy complications.

Review of maternal deaths contributes to our understanding of the risk factors of maternal deaths, as well as to the

evaluation and improvement of the quality of care.